arXiv:1108.0498v2 [math.CV] 6 Aug 2011

ON THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF THE KERNEL OF BEREZIN-TOEPLITZ QUANTIZATION

CHIN-YU HSIAO

Abstract. We give new methods for computing the coefficients of the asymptotic expansions of the kernel of Berezin-Toeplitz quantization obtained recently by Ma-Marinescu, and of the composition of two Berezin-Toeplitz quantizations. Our main tool is the stationary phase formula of Melin-Sjöstrand.

Contents

1. Introduction and statement of the main results 1
1.1. Some standard notations 2
1.2. Set up and Terminology 2
1.3. The main results 4
2. The Taylor expansions of some global functions at a given point 8
3. The phase function version of the asymptotic expansion of the kernel of Berezin-Toeplitz quantization 14
4. The coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the kernel of Berezin-Toeplitz quantization 18
4.1. The coefficient $b_{0,f}$ 20
4.2. The coefficient $b_{1,f}$ 20
4.3. The coefficient $b_{2,f}$ 22
5. The coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the kernel of the composition of two Berezin-Toeplitz quantizations 26
5.1. The coefficients $b_{0,f,g}$ and $C_0(f,g)$ 27
5.2. The coefficients $b_{1,f,g}$ and $C_1(f,g)$ 27
5.3. The coefficients $b_{2,f,g}$ and $C_2(f,g)$ 29
References 34

1. Introduction and statement of the main results

Let L^k be the k-th tensor power of a positive holomorphic line bundle L over a compact complex manifold X. Let $\mathscr{H}^0(X,L^k)$ be the space of global holomorphic sections of L^k and let $\Pi^{(k)}$ denote the orthogonal projector on $\mathscr{H}^0(X,L^k)$ in the L^2 space. Let $f\in C^\infty(X)$. Berezin-Toeplitz quantization with symbol f is the operator $T^{(k)}_f=\Pi^{(k)}\circ f\circ\Pi^{(k)}$. The study of the k large behaviour of $T^{(k)}_f$ is important in the geometric quantization theory . Ma and Marinescu [14] obtained a full off-diagonal asymptotic expansion in k of the kernel of $T^{(k)}_f$. They also calculated in [15] the first three coefficients of the expansion on the diagonal when X is polarized (see (1.31)) by using kernel calculations on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the analytic localization technique of Bismut-Lebeau [12]. The coefficients of the expansion turned out to be deeply related to various problem in complex geometry (see e.g. Fine [6], [7]).

Since microlocal analysis is one of the main tools of quantization, it is important to know how to use microlocal analysis to calculate the coefficients of the expansion.

The author is supported by the DFG funded project MA 2469/2-1.

This will be done in the present paper. There are two ingredients of our approach: the phase function version of the asymptotic expansion of the kernel of Berezin-Toeplitz quantization and the method of stationary phase. We will calculate the first three coefficients of the expansion by using this method. We do not assume that X is polarized. Even through the inspiration for the calculation from microlocal analysis, the arguments in this paper are elementary and simple.

1.1. **Some standard notations.** We shall use the following notations: $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers, $\mathbb{N}=\{1,2,\ldots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0=\mathbb{N}\bigcup\{0\}$. An element $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)$ of $\mathbb{N}_0^n$ will be called a multiindex and the length of α is: $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n$. We write $x^\alpha=x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$, $\partial^\alpha_x=\partial^{\alpha_1}_{x_1}\cdots\partial^{\alpha_n}_{x_n}$, $\partial_{x_j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, $D^\alpha_x=D^{\alpha_1}_{x_1}\cdots D^{\alpha_n}_{x_n}$, $D_x=\frac{1}{i}\partial_x$, $D_{x_j}=\frac{1}{i}\partial_{x_j}$.

Let M be a C^∞ paracompact manifold. We let TM and T^*M denote the tangent bundle of M and the cotangent bundle of M respectively. The complexified tangent bundle of M and the complexified cotangent bundle of M will be denoted by $\mathbb{C}TM$ and $\mathbb{C}T^*M$ respectively. We write $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$ to denote the pointwise duality between TM and T^*M. We extend $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$ bilinearly to $\mathbb{C}TM\times\mathbb{C}T^*M$. Let E be a C^∞ vector bundle over M. The fiber of E at $x\in M$ will be denoted by E_x. Let F be another C^∞ vector bundle over M. We write $E\boxtimes F$ to denote the vector bundle over $M\times M$ with fiber over $(x,y)\in M\times M$ consisting of the linear maps from E_x to F_y. We write $\mathrm{End}\,(E)$ to denote $E\boxtimes E$.

1.2. **Set up and Terminology.** Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n with a smooth Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$ on the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}X$. Let $T^{0,1}X$ be the anti-holomorphic tangent bundle of X. We extend the Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$ to $\mathbb{C}TX$ in a natural way by requiring $T^{1,0}X$ to be orthogonal to $T^{0,1}X$ and satisfy $\overline{\langle\,u\,,v\,\rangle}=\langle\,\overline{u}\,,\overline{v}\,\rangle$, $u,v\in T^{0,1}X$. For $p,q\in\mathbb{N}_0$, let $\Lambda^{p,q}T^*X$ be the bundle of (p,q) forms of X. The Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ induces a Hermitian metric on $\Lambda^{p,q}T^*X\otimes\Lambda^{r,s}T^*X$, $p,q,r,s\in\mathbb{N}_0$, also denoted by $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$. Let $D\subset X$ be an open set. If E is a vector bundle over D, then we let $C^\infty(D,E)$ denote the space of smooth sections of E over D. Let $C^\infty_0(D,E)$ be the subspace of $C^\infty(D,E)$ whose elements have compact support in D.

In the sequel we will denote by $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$ both scalar products as well as the duality bracket between vector fields and forms.

Let (L,h^L) be a holomorphic line bundle over X, where the Hermitian fiber metric on L is denoted by h^L. In this work, we assume that h^L is smooth. Let ϕ denote the local weights of the Hermitian metric. More precisely, if $s(z)$ is a local trivializing section of L on an open subset $D\subset X$, then the pointwise norm of s is

$$|s(x)|^2=|s(x)|^2_{h^L}=e^{-2\phi(x)},\quad \phi\in C^\infty(D,\mathbb{R}). \tag{1.1}$$

Let R^L be the canonical curvature two form induced by h^L. In terms of the local weight ϕ, we have $R^L=2\partial\overline{\partial}\phi$.

We will identify the curvature two form R^L with the Hermitian matrix

$$\dot{R}^L\in C^\infty(X,\mathrm{End}\,(T^{1,0}X))$$

such that for $U,V\in T^{1,0}_xX$, $x\in X$, we have

$$\langle\,\dot{R}^L(x)U\,,V\,\rangle=\langle\,R^L(x)\,,U\wedge\overline{V}\,\rangle. \tag{1.2}$$

In this work, we assume that

Assumption 1.1. $\dot{R}^L$ *is positive at each point of* X*, that is,* L *is a positive holomorphic line bundle over* X*.*

We introduce now the geometric objects used in Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.5 below. Put

$$\omega =: \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}R^L. \tag{1.3}$$

The real two form ω induces a Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_\omega$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$. The Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_\omega$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ induces a Hermitian metric on $\Lambda^{p,q}T^*X\otimes\Lambda^{r,s}T^*X$, $p,q,r,s\in\mathbb{N}_0$, also denoted by $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_\omega$. For $u\in\Lambda^{p,q}T^*X$, we denote $|u|^2_\omega =: \langle\,u\,,u\,\rangle_\omega$.

Let Θ be the real two form induced by $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$. In local holomorphic coordinates $z=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$, put

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega=\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\omega_{j,k}dz_j\wedge d\overline{z}_k,\\&\Theta=\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\Theta_{j,k}dz_j\wedge d\overline{z}_k.\end{aligned}\tag{1.4}$$

We notice that $\Theta_{j,k}=\langle\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}\,,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}\,\rangle$, $\omega_{j,k}=\langle\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}\,,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}\,\rangle_\omega$, $j,k=1,\ldots,n$. Put

$$h=\left(h_{j,k}\right)^n_{j,k=1},\quad h_{j,k}=\omega_{k,j},\quad j,k=1,\ldots,n, \tag{1.5}$$

and $h^{-1}=\left(h^{j,k}\right)^n_{j,k=1}$, h^{-1} is the inverse matrix of h. The complex Laplacian with respect to ω is given by

$$\triangle_\omega=(-2)\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}h^{j,k}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}. \tag{1.6}$$

We notice that $h^{j,k}=\langle\,dz_j\,,dz_k\,\rangle_\omega$, $j,k=1,\ldots,n$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}&V_\omega=:\det\left(\omega_{j,k}\right)^n_{j,k=1},\\&V_\Theta=:\det\left(\Theta_{j,k}\right)^n_{j,k=1}\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned}&r=\triangle_\omega\log V_\omega,\\&\hat{r}=\triangle_\omega\log V_\Theta.\end{aligned}\tag{1.8}$$

r is called the scalar curvature with respect to ω. Let $R^{\det}_\Theta$ be the curvature of the determinant line bundle of $T^{1,0}X$ with respect to the real two form Θ. We recall that

$$R^{\det}_\Theta=-\overline{\partial}\partial\log V_\Theta. \tag{1.9}$$

Let h be as in (1.5). Put $\theta=h^{-1}\partial h=\left(\theta_{j,k}\right)^n_{j,k=1}$, $\theta_{j,k}\in\Lambda^{1,0}T^*X$, $j,k=1,\ldots,n$. θ is the Chern connection matrix with respect to ω. The Chern curvature with respect to ω is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&R^{TX}_\omega=\overline{\partial}\theta=\left(\overline{\partial}\theta_{j,k}\right)^n_{j,k=1}=\left(\mathcal{R}_{j,k}\right)^n_{j,k=1}\in C^\infty(X,\Lambda^{1,1}T^*X\otimes\operatorname{End}(T^{1,0}X)),\\&R^{TX}_\omega(\overline{U},V)\in\operatorname{End}(T^{1,0}X),\quad\forall U,V\in T^{1,0}X,\\&R^{TX}_\omega(\overline{U},V)\xi=\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\langle\,\mathcal{R}_{j,k}\,,\overline{U}\wedge V\,\rangle\xi_k\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j},\quad\xi=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\xi_j\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j},\quad U,V\in T^{1,0}X.\end{aligned}\tag{1.10}$$

Set

$$\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega=:\sum_{j,k,s,t=1}^{n}\left|\langle\,R^{TX}_\omega(\overline{e}_j,e_k)e_s\,,e_t\,\rangle_\omega\right|^2, \tag{1.11}$$

where $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ is an orthonormal frame for $T^{1,0}X$ with respect to $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_\omega$. It is straightforward to see that the definition of $\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega$ is independent of the choices of orthonormal frames. Thus, $\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega$ is globally defined. The Ricci curvature with respect to ω is given by

$$\mathrm{Ric}_\omega =: -\sum_{j=1}^n \langle\, R^{TX}_\omega(\cdot, e_j)\cdot\, , e_j\,\rangle_\omega, \tag{1.12}$$

where $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ is an orthonormal frame for $T^{1,0}X$ with respect to $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_\omega$. That is,

$$\langle\, \mathrm{Ric}_\omega\, , U\wedge V\,\rangle = -\sum_{j=1}^n \langle\, R^{TX}_\omega(U, e_j)V\, , e_j\,\rangle_\omega,\ \ U, V\in \mathbb{C}TX.$$

Ric_ω is a global $(1,1)$ form.

Let

$$D^{0,1}: C^\infty(X, \Lambda^{0,1}T^*X)\to C^\infty(X, \Lambda^{0,1}T^*X\otimes\Lambda^{0,1}T^*X) \tag{1.13}$$

be the $(0,1)$ component of the Chern connection on $\Lambda^{0,1}T^*X$ induced by $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_\omega$. That is, in local coordinates $z=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$, put

$$A=(a_{j,k})^n_{j,k=1}\,,\ \ a_{j,k}=\langle\, d\overline{z}_k\, , d\overline{z}_j\,\rangle_\omega,\ \ j,k=1,\ldots,n,$$

and set

$$\mathcal{A}=A^{-1}\partial A=(\alpha_{j,k})^n_{j,k=1}\,,\ \ \alpha_{j,k}\in\Lambda^{0,1}T^*X,\ \ j,k=1,\ldots,n. \tag{1.14}$$

Then, for $u=\sum_{j=1}^n u_j d\overline{z}_j\in C^\infty(X,\Lambda^{0,1}T^*X)$, we have

$$D^{0,1}u=\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\partial}u_j\otimes d\overline{z}_j+\sum_{j,k=1}^n u_j\alpha_{k,j}\otimes d\overline{z}_k\in C^\infty(X,\Lambda^{0,1}T^*X\otimes\Lambda^{0,1}T^*X).$$

Similarly, let

$$D^{1,0}: C^\infty(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T^*X)\to C^\infty(X, \Lambda^{1,0}T^*X\otimes\Lambda^{1,0}T^*X) \tag{1.15}$$

be the $(1,0)$ component of the Chern connection on $\Lambda^{1,0}T^*X$ induced by $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_\omega$.

1.3. **The main results.** In order to state our results precisely, we first review briefly the asymptotic expansion of the kernel of Berezin-Toeplitz quantization. Let L^k, $k>0$, be the k-th tensor power of the line bundle L. The Hermitian fiber metric on L induces a Hermitian fiber metric on L^k that we shall denote by h^{L^k}. If s is a local trivializing section of L then s^k is a local trivializing section of L^k. For $f\in C^\infty(X,L^k)$, we denote the pointwise norm $\left|f(x)\right|^2 =: \left|f(x)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}$. We denote by

$$dv_X=dv_X(x)=\frac{\Theta^n}{n!}$$

the volume form on X induced by the fixed Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$. Then we get natural inner products $(\ |\ )_k$, $(\ |\ )$ on $C^\infty(X,L^k)$ and $C^\infty(X)$ respectively. More precisely, let s be a local trivializing section of L on an open set $D\subset X$, $\left|s\right|^2=e^{-2\phi}$, then for $u=s^k\widetilde{u}, v=s^k\widetilde{v}\in C^\infty_0(D,L^k)$, we have

$$(u\mid v)_k=\int_X \widetilde{u}\overline{\widetilde{v}}e^{-2k\phi}dv_X(x). \tag{1.16}$$

We denote by $L^2(X,L^k)$ the completion of $C^\infty(X,L^k)$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_k$.

Let $\overline{\partial}_k: C^\infty(X,L^k)\to C^\infty(X,L^k\otimes\Lambda^{0,1}T^*X)$ denote the Cauchy-Riemann operator with values in L^k. Put $\mathscr{H}^0(X,L^k) =: \left\{f\in C^\infty(X,L^k);\, \overline{\partial}_k f=0\right\}$. Let $\mathit{\Pi}^{(k)}$:

$L^2(X,L^k)\to\mathscr{H}^0(X,L^k)$ be the Bergman projection. That is, the orthogonal projection onto $\mathscr{H}^0(X,L^k)$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_k$. Let $f\in C^\infty(X)$. Berezin-Toeplitz quantization with symbol f is given by

$$\begin{split}T^{(k)}_f: L^2(X,L^k)\to L^2(X,L^k),\\ u\to(\Pi^{(k)}\circ f\circ\Pi^{(k)})u.\end{split}\tag{1.17}$$

Let

$$T^{(k)}_f(x,y)\in C^\infty(X\times X,L^k_y\boxtimes L^k_x)$$

be the Schwartz kernel of $T^{(k)}_f$. Let s be a local section of L over $\widetilde{X}$, where $\widetilde{X}\subset X$. Then on $\widetilde{X}\times\widetilde{X}$ we can write

$$T^{(k)}_f(x,y)=s(x)^kT^{(k)}_{f,s}(x,y)s^*(y)^k,$$

where $T^{(k)}_{f,s}(x,y)\in C^\infty(\widetilde{X}\times\widetilde{X})$ so that for $x\in\widetilde{X}$, $u\in C^\infty_0(\widetilde{X},L^k)$,

$$\begin{split}(T^{(k)}_fu)(x)&=s(x)^k\int_X T^{(k)}_{f,s}(x,y)<u(y),s^*(y)^k>dv_X(y)\\ &=s(x)^k\int_X T^{(k)}_{f,s}(x,y)\widetilde{u}(y)dv_X(y),\quad u=s^k\widetilde{u},\quad \widetilde{u}\in C^\infty_0(\widetilde{X}).\end{split}\tag{1.18}$$

For $x=y$, we can check that the function $T^{(k)}_{f,s}(x,x)\in C^\infty(\widetilde{X})$ is independent of the choices of local section s. We write $T^{(k)}_{f,s}(x,x)=:T^{(k)}_f(x)$ and call $T^{(k)}_f(x)$ the kernel of Berezin-Toeplitz quantization on the diagonal.

We introduce some notations. Let Ω be an open set of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $a(x,k)\in C^\infty(\Omega)$ be a k-dependent function. We write

$$a(x,k)\equiv\sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j(x)k^{m-j}\mod O(k^{-\infty})\ \text{ on } \Omega,$$

where $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, $a_j(x)\in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $j=0,1,\ldots$, if for every $N\in\mathbb{N}$, every $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2n}_0$ and every compact set $K\subset\Omega$, there exists a constant $C_{N,\alpha,K}>0$ independent of k, such that

$$\left|\partial^\alpha_x\Big(a(x,k)-\sum_{j=0}^N k^{m-j}a_j(x)\Big)\right|\leq C_{N,\alpha,K}k^{m-N-1},$$

$x\in K$, for k large.

Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 below are due to Ma-Marinescu [14, Lemma 4.6], [12, Lemma 7.2.4], [14, Th.1.1]

Theorem 1.2. *Let (X,Θ) be a compact Hermitian manifold and $(L,h^L)\to X$ a positive line bundle. Let $f\in C^\infty(X)$. With the notations used above, we have*

$$T^{(k)}_f(z)\equiv\sum_{j=0}^\infty b_{j,f}(z)k^{n-j}\mod O(k^{-\infty})\ \text{ on } X,\tag{1.19}$$

where $b_{j,f}(z)\in C^\infty(X)$, $j=0,1,2,\ldots$.

Let $f,g\in C^\infty(X)$. As in the discussion after (1.18), we can also define the kernel of the composition $T^{(k)}_f\circ T^{(g)}_g$ on the diagonal. We write $(T^{(k)}_f\circ T^{(k)}_g)(z)$ to denote the kernel of the composition $T^{(k)}_f\circ T^{(g)}_g$ on the diagonal.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (X, Θ) be a compact Hermitian manifold and $(L, h^L) \to X$ a positive line bundle. Let $f, g \in C^\infty(X)$. With the notations used above, the kernel of the composition $T^{(k)}_f \circ T^{(k)}_g$ on the diagonal has an asymptotic expansion*

$$(T^{(k)}_f \circ T^{(k)}_g)(z) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{j,f,g}(z) k^{n-j} \mod O(k^{-\infty}) \quad \text{on } X, \tag{1.20}$$

where $b_{j,f,g}(z) \in C^\infty(X)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

Moreover, $T^{(k)}_f \circ T^{(k)}_g$ is a Berezin-Toeplitz quantization and it admits the asymptotic expansion

$$T^{(k)}_f \circ T^{(k)}_g \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} k^{-j} T^{(k)}_{C_j(f,g)} \mod O(k^{-\infty}), \tag{1.21}$$

where C_j, $j = 0, 1, \ldots$, are bidifferential operators, in the sense that for any $m \geq 0$, there exists $c_m > 0$ independent of k with

$$\left\| T^{(k)}_f \circ T^{(k)}_g - \sum_{j=0}^{m} k^{-j} T^{(k)}_{C_j(f,g)} \right\| \leq c_m k^{n-m-1},$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm on the space of bounded operators on $C^0(X, L^k)$.

In [12], [14], the asymptotic expansions (1.19), (1.20) and (1.21), are actually proved in greater generality on symplectic manifolds.

In this paper, we give new methods for computing the coefficients of the expansions (1.19), (1.20), (1.21) and we calculate the first three terms of the expansions. Our purpose is to prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 below. Note that we do not assume that $\omega = \Theta$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (X, Θ) be a compact Hermitian manifold and $(L, h^L) \to X$ a positive line bundle. Let $f \in C^\infty(X)$. With the notations used above, for*

$$b_{0,f}(z), \quad b_{1,f}(z), \quad b_{2,f}(z)$$

in (1.19), we have

$$b_{0,f}(z) = (2\pi)^{-n} f(z) \det \dot{R}^L(z), \tag{1.22}$$

(1.23)
$$b_{1,f}(z) = (2\pi)^{-n} f(z) \det \dot{R}^L(z) \Big(\frac{1}{4\pi} \hat{r} - \frac{1}{8\pi} r \Big)(z) + (2\pi)^{-n} \det \dot{R}^L(z) \Big(-\frac{1}{4\pi} \triangle_\omega f \Big)(z),$$

(1.24)
$$\begin{aligned} b_{2,f}(z) = {}& (2\pi)^{-n} f(z) \det \dot{R}^L(z) \Big(\frac{1}{128\pi^2} r^2 - \frac{1}{32\pi^2} r\hat{r} + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} (\hat{r})^2 - \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \triangle_\omega \hat{r} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left| R^{\det}_\Theta \right|^2_\omega \\ & + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \langle \operatorname{Ric}_\omega , R^{\det}_\Theta \rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{96\pi^2} \triangle_\omega r - \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \left| \operatorname{Ric}_\omega \right|^2_\omega + \frac{1}{96\pi^2} \left| R^{TX}_\omega \right|^2_\omega \Big)(z) \\ & + (2\pi)^{-n} \det \dot{R}^L(z) \Big(\frac{1}{16\pi^2} (\triangle_\omega f)(-\hat{r} + \frac{1}{2} r) - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \langle \overline{\partial} \partial f , R^{\det}_\Theta \rangle_\omega \\ & + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \langle \overline{\partial} \partial f , \operatorname{Ric}_\omega \rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \triangle^2_\omega f \Big)(z). \end{aligned}$$

We remind that $\dot{R}^L$ is given by (1.2) and

$$\det \dot{R}^L(z) = \lambda_1(z) \cdots \lambda_n(z),$$

where $\lambda_1(z), \ldots, \lambda_n(z)$ are eigenvalues of $\dot{R}^L(z)$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let (X,Θ) be a compact Hermitian manifold and $(L,h^L)\to X$ a positive line bundle. Let $f,g\in C^\infty(X)$. With the notations used above, for*

$$b_{0,f,g}(z),\quad b_{1,f,g}(z),\quad b_{2,f,g}(z)$$

in (1.20)*, we have*

$$b_{0,f,g}(z)=(2\pi)^{-n}f(z)g(z)\det\dot{R}^L(z), \tag{1.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}b_{1,f,g}(z)&=(2\pi)^{-n}f(z)g(z)\det\dot{R}^L(z)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}-\frac{1}{8\pi}r\Big)(z)\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(z)\Big(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\big(g\triangle_\omega f+f\triangle_\omega g\big)+\frac{1}{2\pi}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_{\,\omega}\Big)(z)\\&=b_{1,fg}(z)+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(z)\Big(-\frac{1}{2\pi}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega\Big)(z),\end{aligned} \tag{1.26}$$

(1.27)

$$\begin{aligned}b_{2,f,g}(z)&=b_{2,fg}(z)+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(z)\Big(-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}g\wedge\partial f\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}g\wedge\partial f\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega\\&\quad+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\partial\triangle_\omega f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega g\,,\overline{\partial}\,\overline{f}\,\rangle_\omega-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,D^{1,0}\partial f\,,D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega\\&\quad-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,\overline{\partial}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(-\hat{r}+\frac{1}{2}r)\Big)(z).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $C_0(f,g)$, $C_1(f,g)$, $C_2(f,g)$ in (1.21)*, we have*

$$C_0(f,g)=fg, \tag{1.28}$$

$$C_1(f,g)=-\frac{1}{2\pi}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega, \tag{1.29}$$

$$C_2(f,g)=\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,D^{1,0}\partial f\,,D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}g\wedge\partial f\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega. \tag{1.30}$$

Remark 1.6. With the notations used above, from (1.24), (1.27) and Proposition 2.4, we can rewrite $b_{2,f,g}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}b_{2,f,g}(z)&=(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(z)f(z)g(z)\Big(\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\hat{r}\big)^2-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\hat{r}r\\&\quad+\frac{1}{128\pi^2}r^2-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega\hat{r}\big)+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\,\big|R^{\det}_\Theta\big|^2_\omega\\&\quad+\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega r\big)-\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\,|\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}|^2_\omega+\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\,\big|R^{TX}_\omega\big|^2_\omega\Big)(z)\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(z)\Big(\big(\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\hat{r}-\frac{1}{16\pi^2}r\big)\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{16\pi^2}f\big(\triangle_\omega g\big)\big(-\hat{r}+\frac{1}{2}r\big)\\&\quad+\frac{1}{16\pi^2}g\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)\big(-\hat{r}+\frac{1}{2}r\big)-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}f\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial g\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}g\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega\\&\quad+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}f\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial g\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}g\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\wedge\partial g\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega\\&\quad-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\wedge\partial g\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega f\,,\overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_\omega\\&\quad+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,D^{0,1}\overline{\partial}f\,,D^{0,1}\overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}f\big(\triangle^2_\omega g\big)+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}g\big(\triangle^2_\omega f\big)\\&\quad+\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega g\big)\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)\Big)(z).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.7. (I) In [15], Ma-Marinescu calculated the coefficients $b_{0,f}$, $b_{1,f}$, $b_{2,f}$, $b_{0,f,g}$, $b_{1,f,g}$, $b_{2,f,g}$ and $C_0(f,g)$, $C_1(f,g)$, $C_2(f,g)$, in the case when $\omega=\Theta$ and in the presence of a twisting vector bundle. In [16, section 2.7], they observed that one can reduce the calculation in the case when $\omega\neq\Theta$ to the case when $\omega=\Theta$ by the following trick. Let

$b_{j,f}$ be as in (1.19) corresponding to the case $\omega \neq \Theta$. Let E be the trivial line bundle over X (i.e. $E = \mathbb{C}$) and endow E with the metric $|1|^2 =: (2\pi)^n \big(\det \dot{R}^L\big)^{-1}$. Endow X with the Hermitian metric $\omega = \Theta$ and consider the L^2 inner product induced by the metric of E, ω, and h^{L^k} as in (1.16) and let $T^{(k)}_{f,E}$ be the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization with values in $L^k \otimes E$ and let $b_{j,f,E}$ be as in (1.19). Then by [16, (2.110)], we have

$$b_{j,f} = (2\pi)^{-n} \det \dot{R}^L b_{j,f,E}, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

One can check that this formulas coincide with those from Theorem 1.4. Note also that the formulas for the coefficients $C_j(f,g)$ are the same in the case when $\omega = \Theta$ and in the case when $\omega \neq \Theta$, see also [16, (2.110)].
(II) Note that we can also include a twisting bundle in our computation but due to the fact we already consider the case $\omega \neq \Theta$ the formulas become quite long.

We say that X is polarized if $\omega = \Theta$. We can check that if X is polarized then

$$\det \dot{R}^L = (2\pi)^n, \quad r = \hat{r}, \quad \mathrm{Ric}_\omega = R^{\det}_\Theta. \tag{1.31}$$

From this observation and Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.5, we deduce the following results of Ma-Marinescu [15]

Corollary 1.8. *If X is polarized, then for $b_{0,f}(z), b_{1,f}(z), b_{2,f}(z)$ in* (1.19)*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&b_{0,f}(z) = f(z),\\
&b_{1,f}(z) = \frac{1}{8\pi}(rf)(z) - \frac{1}{4\pi}(\triangle_\omega f)(z),\\
&b_{2,f}(z) = f(z)\Big(\frac{1}{128\pi^2}r^2 - \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\triangle_\omega r - \frac{1}{24\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\right|^2_\omega + \frac{1}{96\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega\Big)(z)\\
&\qquad\qquad + \Big(-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}(\triangle_\omega f)r - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial f\,, \mathrm{Ric}_\omega\,\rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{32\pi^2}\triangle^2_\omega f\Big)(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.32}$$

Moreover, for $b_{0,f,g}(z), b_{1,f,g}(z), b_{2,f,g}(z)$ in (1.20)*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&b_{0,f,g}(z) = f(z)g(z),\\
&b_{1,f,g}(z) = f(z)g(z)\frac{1}{8\pi}r(z) + \frac{1}{2\pi}\langle\, \overline{\partial} f\,, \overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(z) - \frac{1}{4\pi}\big(g\triangle_\omega f + f\triangle_\omega g\big)(z),\\
&b_{2,f,g}(z) = b_{2,fg}(z) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \partial\triangle_\omega f\,, \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(z) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega g\,, \overline{\partial}\,\overline{f}\,\rangle_\omega(z)\\
&\qquad\qquad - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, D^{1,0}\partial f\,, D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(z) - \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial f\,, \overline{\partial}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(z)\\
&\qquad\qquad - \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\langle\, \partial f\,, \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(z)r(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.33}$$

2. The Taylor expansions of some global functions at a given point

In this section, we will use the same notations as section 1. For a given point $p \in X$, we may take local holomorphic coordinates $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)$ and local trivializing section s of L defined in some small open neighborhood of p such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&z(p) = 0,\\
&\phi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \left|z_j\right|^2 + \phi_1(z),\\
&\phi_1(z) = O(|z|)^4), \quad \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\phi_1}{\partial z^\alpha \partial\overline{z}^\beta}(0) = 0 \ \text{ if } |\alpha| \leq 1 \text{ or } |\beta| \leq 1, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n_0,\\
&\Theta(z) = \sqrt{-1}\sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j + O(|z|).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

(This is always possible. See Ruan [18].) In this section, we work with this local coordinates z and we identify p with the point $z=0$.

From (2.1), we can check that $2\lambda_1,\ldots,2\lambda_n$, are eigenvalues of $\dot{R}^L(0)$ and

$$\omega=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_j dz_j\wedge d\overline{z}_j+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2\phi_1}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}dz_j\wedge d\overline{z}_k. \tag{2.2}$$

The following lemma follows from some straightforward but elementary computations. We omit the proof.

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$\mathcal{R}_{j,k}(z)=\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\sum_{s,t=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_t}(z)d\overline{z}_s\wedge dz_t+O(|z|^2),\quad j,k=1,\ldots,n. \tag{2.3}$$

$$\triangle_\omega=-2\pi\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j}+2\pi\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^2\phi_1}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}+O(|z|^3). \tag{2.4}$$

$$\log V_\omega=\sum_{s=1}^{n}\log\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_s}-\sum_{s<t,1\leq s,t\leq n}\frac{1}{\lambda_s\lambda_t}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_t}+O(|z|^5). \tag{2.5}$$

(We remind that $\mathcal{R}_{j,k}$ and V_ω are given by (1.10) *and* (1.7) *respectively.)*

Put

$$\triangle_0=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j}. \tag{2.6}$$

The following theorem also follows from some straightforward computations. We only sketch the proof

Theorem 2.2. *We have*

(2.7)

$$\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)=\pi^2\sum_{1\leq s,t,j,k\leq n}\frac{1}{\lambda_t\lambda_s\lambda_j\lambda_k}\left|\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t\partial z_k}(0)\right|^2.$$

(2.8)

$$\operatorname{Ric}_\omega(0)=-\sum_{s,t,j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_t}(0)d\overline{z}_s\wedge dz_t.$$

(2.9)

$$R^{\det}_\Theta(0)=-\sum_{s,t=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2\log V_\Theta}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_t}(0)d\overline{z}_s\wedge dz_t.$$

(2.10)

$$r(z)=-2\pi\sum_{s,t=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_s\lambda_t}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t\partial z_t}(z)+O(|z|^2)=-2\pi(\triangle_0^2\phi)(z)+O(|z|^2).$$

(2.11)

$$\hat{r}(z)=-2\pi\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\Big(-\left|\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_j}\right|^2+\frac{\partial^2 V_\Theta}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j}\Big)(z)+O(|z|^2)=-2\pi(\triangle_0\log V_\Theta)(z)+O(|z|^2).$$

(2.12)

$$(\triangle_\omega r)(0)=4\pi^2(\triangle_0^3\phi)(0)-8\left|\operatorname{Ric}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)-4\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0).$$

(2.13)

$$(\triangle_\omega\hat{r})(0)=4\pi^2(\triangle_0^2\log V_\Theta)(0)-4\langle\, R^{\det}_\Theta\,,\operatorname{Ric}_\omega\rangle_\omega(0).$$

Let $f\in C^\infty(X)$. Then,

$$(D^{1,0}\partial f)(0)=\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_j\partial z_k}(0)dz_k\otimes dz_j. \tag{2.14}$$

$$(D^{0,1}\overline{\partial} f)(0)=\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \overline{z}_j\partial \overline{z}_k}(0)d\overline{z}_k\otimes d\overline{z}_j. \tag{2.15}$$

$$(\triangle_\omega f)(0)=-2\pi(\triangle_0 f)(0). \tag{2.16}$$

$$(\partial\triangle_\omega f)(0)=-2\pi(\partial\triangle_0 f)(0). \tag{2.17}$$

$$(\overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega f)(0)=-2\pi(\overline{\partial}\triangle_0 f)(0). \tag{2.18}$$

$$(\triangle_\omega^2 f)(0)=4\pi^2(\triangle_0^2 f)(0)+4\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega(0). \tag{2.19}$$

Proof. Let $e_j=e_j(z)$, $j=1,\ldots,n$, be an orthonormal frame for $T^{1,0}_zX$ such that

$$e_j(z)=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\lambda_j}}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}+O(|z|^2),\quad j=1,\ldots,n. \tag{2.20}$$

(It is easy to see that this is always possible.) From (2.3), it is not difficult to see that

$$R^{TX}_\omega(\overline{e}_s,e_t)e_k=\frac{\pi\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\lambda_s\lambda_t\lambda_k}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_t\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}+O(|z|^2),\quad\forall\ \ 1\leq s,t,k\leq n.$$

Thus,

$$\langle\,R^{TX}_\omega(\overline{e}_s,e_t)e_k\,,e_j\,\rangle_\omega=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda_s\lambda_t\lambda_k\lambda_j}}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_t}(z)+O(|z|^2). \tag{2.21}$$

From (2.21) and (1.11), (2.7) follows.

Similarly, from (2.3), we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,,\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_s}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_t}\,\rangle&=-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\langle\,R^{TX}_\omega(\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_s},e_j)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_t}\,,e_j\,\rangle_\omega\\&=-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_t}(z)+O(|z|^2).\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

From (2.22), (2.8) follows.

From (2.4), (2.5) and the definitions of $R^{\det}_\Theta$, r, $\hat{r}$ (see (1.9), (1.8)), we can easily get (2.9), (2.10), (2.11).

Now, we prove (2.13). From (2.4), we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}&(\triangle_\omega\hat{r})(0)=(\triangle_\omega^2\log V_\Theta)(0)\\&=\Big(\triangle_\omega\circ\Big(-2\pi(\triangle_0\log V_\Theta)+2\pi\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^2\phi_1}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k}\frac{\partial^2\log V_\Theta}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}\Big)\Big)(0)\\&=\Big(-2\pi\triangle_0\circ\Big(-2\pi(\triangle_0\log V_\Theta)+2\pi\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^2\phi_1}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k}\frac{\partial^2\log V_\Theta}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}\Big)\Big)(0)\\&=4\pi^2(\triangle_0^2\log V_\Theta)(0)-4\pi^2\sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial^2\log V_\Theta}{\partial z_j\overline{z}_k}(0).\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

In view of (2.9) and (2.8), we see that

$$\langle\,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega(0)=\sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n}\frac{\pi^2}{\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial^2\log V_\Theta}{\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_s}(0). \tag{2.24}$$

From this observation and (2.23), (2.13) follows.

Now, we prove (2.12). We can repeat the procedure as (2.23) and conclude that

(2.25)
$$\begin{aligned}(\triangle_\omega r)(0) &= (\triangle^2_\omega \log V_\omega)(0)\\ &= 4\pi^2(\triangle^2_0 \log V_\omega)(0) - 4\pi^2 \sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial^2 \log V_\omega}{\partial z_j \overline{z}_k}(0).\end{aligned}$$

As (2.24), we have

$$\langle\, \mathrm{Ric}_\omega\,, -\overline{\partial}\partial \log V_\omega\,\rangle_\omega(0) = \sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n} \frac{\pi^2}{\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial^2 \log V_\omega}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}(0).$$

Note that $-\overline{\partial}\partial\log V_\omega = \mathrm{Ric}_\omega$. Thus,

$$|\mathrm{Ric}_\omega|^2_\omega(0) = \sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n} \frac{\pi^2}{\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial^2 \log V_\omega}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k}(0). \tag{2.26}$$

From (2.5), it is straightforward to see that

(2.27)
$$\begin{aligned}(\triangle^2_0 \log V_\omega)(0) = (\triangle^3_0\phi)(0) &- \sum_{s,t,j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_s\lambda_t\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t\partial\overline{z}_k\partial z_k}(0)\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_t\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j}(0)\\ &- \sum_{s,t,j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_s\lambda_t\lambda_j\lambda_k}\left|\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t\partial\overline{z}_k\partial z_j}(0)\right|^2.\end{aligned}$$

From (2.8) and (2.7), we see that

$$\sum_{s,t,j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_s\lambda_t\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t\partial\overline{z}_k\partial z_k}(0)\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_t\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j}(0) = \frac{1}{\pi^2}\,|\mathrm{Ric}_\omega|^2_\omega(0) \tag{2.28}$$

and

$$\sum_{s,t,j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_s\lambda_t\lambda_j\lambda_k}\left|\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t\partial\overline{z}_k\partial z_j}(0)\right|^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0). \tag{2.29}$$

From (2.28), (2.29) and (2.27), we obtain

$$(\triangle^2_0 \log V_\omega)(0) = (\triangle^3_0\phi)(0) - \frac{1}{\pi^2}\,|\mathrm{Ric}_\omega|^2_\omega(0) - \frac{1}{\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0). \tag{2.30}$$

Combining (2.30) with (2.26) and (2.25), (2.12) follows.

In view of (2.1), (2.4), we see that

$$\triangle_\omega f = -2\pi\triangle_0 f + O(|z|^2).$$

From this, (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18) follows.

The proof of (2.19) is essentially the same as the proof of (2.13).

From (2.2), it is not difficult to see that $\alpha_{j,k} = O(|z|)$, $j,k = 1,\ldots,n$, where $\alpha_{j,k}$, $j,k = 1,\ldots,n$, are as in (1.14). From this observation, (2.14) and (2.15) follows. □

From Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we deduce

Corollary 2.3. *With the notations used before, we have*

$$|\mathrm{Ric}_\omega|^2_\omega(0) = \pi^2\sum_{1\leq s,t,j,k\leq n}\frac{1}{\lambda_t\lambda_s\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t\partial z_k}(0)\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial z_t\partial\overline{z}_k}(0). \tag{2.31}$$

$$\left|R_{\Theta}^{\det}\right|_{\omega}^{2}(0)=\pi^{2}\sum_{s,t=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{s}\lambda_{t}}\left|\frac{\partial^{2}\log V_{\Theta}}{\partial z_{s}\partial\overline{z}_{t}}(0)\right|^{2}. \tag{2.32}$$

$$\langle\, R_{\Theta}^{\det}\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=\pi^{2}\sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}\lambda_{s}}\frac{\partial^{4}\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}\partial z_{j}\partial\overline{z}_{k}\partial z_{s}}(0)\frac{\partial^{2}\log V_{\Theta}}{\partial z_{k}\partial\overline{z}_{s}}(0). \tag{2.33}$$

$$r^{2}(0)=4\pi^{2}\sum_{1\leq s,t,j,k\leq n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{t}\lambda_{s}\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}}\frac{\partial^{4}\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_{t}\partial z_{t}\partial\overline{z}_{s}\partial z_{s}}(0)\frac{\partial^{4}\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_{k}\partial z_{k}\partial\overline{z}_{j}\partial z_{j}}(0). \tag{2.34}$$

Let $f,g\in C^{\infty}(X)$. Then,

$$\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial}\hat{r}\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=-2\pi^{2}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{s}}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline{z}_{s}}(0)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{s}}(\triangle_{0}\log V_{\Theta})(0). \tag{2.35}$$

$$\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\hat{r}\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=-2\pi^{2}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{s}}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{s}}(0)\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_{s}}(\triangle_{0}\log V_{\Theta})(0). \tag{2.36}$$

$$\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial}r\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=-2\pi^{2}\sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}\lambda_{s}}\frac{\partial^{5}\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}\partial z_{j}\partial\overline{z}_{k}\partial z_{k}\partial z_{s}}(0)\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline{z}_{s}}(0). \tag{2.37}$$

$$\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial r\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=-2\pi^{2}\sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}\lambda_{s}}\frac{\partial^{5}\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}\partial z_{j}\partial\overline{z}_{k}\partial z_{k}\partial\overline{z}_{s}}(0)\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{s}}(0). \tag{2.38}$$

$$\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,R_{\Theta}^{\det}\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=-\pi^{2}\sum_{s,t=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{s}\lambda_{t}}\frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial\overline{z}_{s}\partial z_{t}}(0)\frac{\partial^{2}\log V_{\Theta}}{\partial z_{s}\partial\overline{z}_{t}}(0). \tag{2.39}$$

$$\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=-\pi^{2}\sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}\lambda_{s}}\frac{\partial^{4}\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}\partial z_{j}\partial\overline{z}_{k}\partial z_{s}}(0)\frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial z_{k}\partial\overline{z}_{s}}(0). \tag{2.40}$$

$$\langle\,\overline{\partial}g\wedge\partial f\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_{\omega}=-\pi^{2}\sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}\lambda_{s}}\frac{\partial^{4}\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}\partial z_{k}\partial z_{s}\partial\overline{z}_{s}}(0)\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{j}}(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial\overline{z}_{k}}(0). \tag{2.41}$$

$$\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,\overline{\partial}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=\pi^{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}}\frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}\partial z_{k}}(0)\frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial z_{j}\partial\overline{z}_{k}}(0). \tag{2.42}$$

$$\langle\,D^{1,0}\partial f\,,D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=\pi^{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}}\frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial z_{j}\partial z_{k}}(0)\frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}\partial\overline{z}_{k}}(0). \tag{2.43}$$

$$\langle\,D^{0,1}\overline{\partial}f\,,D^{0,1}\overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=\pi^{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}}\frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial\overline{z}_{j}\partial\overline{z}_{k}}(0)\frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial z_{j}\partial z_{k}}(0). \tag{2.44}$$

$$\langle\,\partial\triangle_{\omega}f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=-2\pi^{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}}\frac{\partial^{3}f}{\partial z_{j}\partial\overline{z}_{j}\partial z_{k}}(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial\overline{z}_{k}}(0). \tag{2.45}$$

$$\langle\,\overline{\partial}\triangle_{\omega}f\,,\overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_{\omega}(0)=-2\pi^{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}}\frac{\partial^{3}f}{\partial z_{j}\partial\overline{z}_{j}\partial\overline{z}_{k}}(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_{k}}(0). \tag{2.46}$$

Formula (2.48) below appears in [15, (5.80)]. For the convenience of the reader we give the details here.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $f, g \in C^\infty(X)$. We have*

(2.47)
$$\triangle_\omega(fg) = (\triangle_\omega f)g + (\triangle_\omega g)f - 2\langle\, \partial f\,, \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega - 2\langle\, \overline{\partial} f\,, \overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega$$

and

(2.48)
$$\begin{aligned}\triangle^2_\omega(fg) = {} & (\triangle^2_\omega f)g + (\triangle^2_\omega g)f - 4\langle\, \partial\triangle_\omega f\,, \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega - 4\langle\, \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega f\,, \overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega - 4\langle\, \partial\triangle_\omega g\,, \partial\overline{f}\,\rangle_\omega \\ & - 4\langle\, \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega g\,, \overline{\partial}\,\overline{f}\,\rangle_\omega + 2(\triangle_\omega f)(\triangle_\omega g) + 8\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial f\,, \overline{\partial}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega + 4\langle\, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial} f\,, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega \\ & + 4\langle\, D^{1,0}\partial f\,, D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega + 4\langle\, \overline{\partial} f \wedge \partial g\,, \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega + 4\langle\, \overline{\partial} g \wedge \partial f\,, \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.2) and (2.4), we can check that

(2.49)
$$\begin{aligned}\triangle_\omega(fg)(0) &= -2\pi\Big((\triangle_0 f)g + (\triangle_0 g)f\Big)(0) - 2\pi\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_j}(0)\Big) \\ &= (\triangle_\omega f)(0)g(0) + (\triangle_\omega g)(0)f(0) - 2\langle\, \partial f\,, \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0) - 2\langle\, \overline{\partial} f\,, \overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0).\end{aligned}$$

(2.47) follows.

Now, we prove (2.48). In view of (2.19), we have

(2.50)
$$\begin{aligned}\triangle^2_\omega(fg)(0) &= 4\pi^2\triangle^2_0(fg)(0) + 4\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial(fg)\,, \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega(0) \\ &= 4\pi^2\triangle^2_0(fg)(0) + 4\langle\, g\overline{\partial}\partial f + f\overline{\partial}\partial g + \overline{\partial} f \wedge \partial g + \overline{\partial} g \wedge \partial f\,, \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega(0).\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to see that

(2.51)
$$\begin{aligned}\triangle^2_0(fg)(0) = {} & (\triangle^2_0 f)(0)g(0) + (\triangle^2_0 g)(0)f(0) + 2\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k}(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial\overline{z}_k}(0) \\ & + 2\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j\partial\overline{z}_k}(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_k}(0) + 2\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k}(0)\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline{z}_k}(0) \\ & + 2\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j\partial\overline{z}_k}(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_k}(0) + 2(\triangle_0 f)(0)(\triangle_0 g)(0) \\ & + 2\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_j}(0)\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial\overline{z}_k\partial z_j}(0) + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_k\partial z_j}(0)\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial\overline{z}_k\partial\overline{z}_j}(0) \\ & + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\overline{z}_k\partial\overline{z}_j}(0)\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial z_k\partial z_j}(0).\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.51) with (2.42), (2.43), (2.44), (2.45) and (2.46), we obtain

(2.52)
$$\begin{aligned}\triangle^2_0(fg)(0) = {} & (\triangle^2_0 f)(0)g(0) + (\triangle^2_0 g)(0)f(0) - \frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\, \partial\triangle_\omega f\,, \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0) \\ & - \frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega f\,, \overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0) - \frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\, \partial\triangle_\omega g\,, \partial\overline{f}\,\rangle_\omega(0) - \frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega g\,, \overline{\partial}\,\overline{f}\,\rangle_\omega(0) \\ & + 2(\triangle_0 f)(0)(\triangle_0 g)(0) + \frac{2}{\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial f\,, \overline{\partial}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0) + \frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\, D^{1,0}\partial f\,, D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0) \\ & + \frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial} f\,, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0).\end{aligned}$$

From (2.52), (2.50) and (2.19), it is straightforward to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle^2_\omega(fg)(0) &= (\triangle^2_\omega f)(0)g(0) + (\triangle^2_\omega g)(0)f(0) + 4\langle\, \overline{\partial} f \wedge \partial g + \overline{\partial} g \wedge \partial f\, , \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\, \rangle_\omega(0) \\
&\quad - 4\langle\, \partial \triangle_\omega f\, , \partial\overline{g}\, \rangle_\omega(0) - 4\langle\, \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega f\, , \overline{\partial g}\, \rangle_\omega(0) - 4\langle\, \partial\triangle_\omega g\, , \partial\overline{f}\, \rangle_\omega(0) \\
&\quad - 4\langle\, \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega g\, , \overline{\partial f}\, \rangle_\omega(0) + 2(\triangle_\omega f)(0)(\triangle_\omega g)(0) + 8\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial f\, , \overline{\partial}\partial\overline{g}\, \rangle_\omega(0) \\
&\quad + 4\langle\, D^{1,0}\partial f\, , D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\, \rangle_\omega(0) + 4\langle\, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial} f\, , D^{0,1}\overline{\partial g}\, \rangle_\omega(0).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.53}
$$

From (2.53), (2.48) follows. □

3. The phase function version of the asymptotic expansion of the kernel of Berezin-Toeplitz quantization

In this section, we will establish the phase function version of the asymptotic expansion of the kernel of Berezin-Toeplitz quantization which is important in our computations.

We first review the phase function version of the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel. As in section 1.3, let $\Pi^{(k)}$ be the Bergman projection and let $\Pi^{(k)}(x,y) \in C^\infty(X \times X, L^k_y \boxtimes L^k_x)$ be the distribution kernel of $\Pi^{(k)}$. Let s be a local trivializing section of L over an open set $D \subset X$, $|s|^2 = e^{-2\phi}$. Then on $D \times D$ we can write

$$\Pi^{(k)}(x,y) = s(x)^k \Pi^{(k)}_s(x,y) s^*(y)^k,$$

where $\Pi^{(k)}_s(x,y) \in C^\infty(D \times D)$ so that for $x \in D$, $u \in C^\infty_0(D, L^k)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Pi^{(k)}u)(x) &= s(x)^k \int_X \Pi^{(k)}_s(x,y) < u(y), s^*(y)^k > dv_X(y) \\
&= s(x)^k \int_X \Pi^{(k)}_s(x,y)\widetilde{u}(y) dv_X(y), \quad u = s^k\widetilde{u}, \quad \widetilde{u} \in C^\infty_0(D).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1}
$$

Let $F(x,y) \in C^\infty(D \times D)$. We say that F is properly supported if $\mathrm{Supp}\, F \subset D \times D$ is proper. That is, the two projections: $t_x : (x,y) \in \mathrm{Supp}\, F \to x \in D$, $t_y : (x,y) \in \mathrm{Supp}\, F \to y \in D$ are proper (i.e. the inverse image of every compact subset of D is compact).

Catlin [4] and Zelditch [19] established the complete asymptotic expansion for $\Pi^{(k)}_s$ on the diagonal by using a result of Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand [2] for the asymptotics of the Szegö kernel on a strictly pseudoconvex boundary, here on the boundary of the unit disc bundle and a reduction idea of Boutet de Monvel-Guillemin [3]. Dai-Lui-Ma [5], Berman-Berndtsson-Sjöstrand [1] and Ma-Marinescu [13] obtained the full off-diagonal expansion for $e^{-k\phi(z)+k\phi(w)}\Pi^{(k)}_s(z,w)$ by using different methods. Hsiao-Marinescu [9] established the full off-diagonal expansion for the Bergman kernel for lower energy forms without the assumption that L is positive. When L is positive, we deduce the full off-diagonal expansion for the Bergman kernel. More precisely, we have the following

Theorem 3.1. *We recall that we work with Assumption 1.1. With the notations used above let $D \subset X$ be an open set with holomorphic coordinates $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ and let s be a local trivializing section of L on $D \subset X$ and $|s|^2 = e^{-2\phi}$. We also write $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$. Then,*

$$e^{-k\phi(z)+k\phi(w)}\Pi^{(k)}_s(z,w) \equiv e^{ik\Psi(z,w)} b(z,w,k) \mod O(k^{-\infty}) \quad \text{on } D \times D, \tag{3.2}$$

where $b(z,w,k)\in C^\infty(D\times D)$ *is properly supported and*

$$\begin{aligned}
&b(z,w,k)\equiv\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}k^{n-j}b_j(z,w)\quad\mathrm{mod}\ O(k^{-\infty})\ \text{ on } D\times D,\\
&b_j(z,w)\in C^\infty(D\times D),\quad j=0,1,2,\ldots,\\
&\overline{\partial}_z b_j(z,w) \text{ and } \partial_w b_j(z,w) \text{ vanish to infinite order at } z=w, \text{ for all } j=0,1,\ldots,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

$\Psi(z,w)\in C^\infty(D\times D)$, $\Psi(z,w)=-\overline{\Psi}(w,z)$, $\mathrm{Im}\,\Psi\geq c\left|z-w\right|^2$, $c>0$, $\Psi=0$ *if amd only if* $z=w$. *Moreover, for a given point* $p\in D$, *if we take local holomorphic coordinates* $z=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ *vanishing at* p, *then we have*

$$\Psi(z,w)=i\big(\phi(z)+\phi(w)\big)-2i\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0,|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}\phi}{\partial z^\alpha\partial\overline{z}^\beta}(0)\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}\frac{\overline{w}^\beta}{\beta!}+O(\left|(z,w)\right|^{N+1}),\tag{3.4}$$

for every $N\in\mathbb{N}_0$.

From (1.17) and Theorem 3.1, we deduce:

Corollary 3.2. *With the notations used above let* $D\subset X$ *be an open set with holomorphic coordinates* $z=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ *and let* s *be a local trivializing section of* L *on* $D\subset X$. *We also write* $w=(w_1,\ldots,w_n)$, $u=(u_1,\ldots,u_n)$. *Let* $f\in C^\infty(X)$. *Then, we have*

$$e^{-k\phi(z)+k\phi(w)}T^{(k)}_{f,s}(z,w)\equiv\int_D e^{ik(\Psi(z,u)+\Psi(u,w))}b(z,u,k)f(u)b(u,w,k)dV_X(u)\quad\mathrm{mod}\ O(k^{-\infty})\tag{3.5}$$

on D, *where* $b(z,w,k)\in C^\infty(D\times D)$ *and* $\Psi(z,w)\in C^\infty(D\times D)$ *are as in Theorem 3.1.*

In particular, we have

$$T^{(k)}_f(z)\equiv\int_D e^{ik(\Psi(z,u)+\Psi(u,z))}b(z,u,k)f(u)b(u,z,k)dV_X(u)\quad\mathrm{mod}\ O(k^{-\infty})\ \text{ on } D.\tag{3.6}$$

We remind that the kernel $T^{(k)}_{f,s}(z,w)$ *is given by* (1.18).

Now, we study the kernel $e^{-k\phi(z)+k\phi(w)}T^{(k)}_{f,s}(z,w)$. Until further notice, we work on D. We write $z=x=(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n})$, $z_j=x_{2j-1}+ix_{2j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n$, $w=y=(y_1,\ldots,y_{2n})$, $w_j=y_{2j-1}+iy_{2j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n$, $u=\alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{2n})$, $u_j=\alpha_{2j-1}+i\alpha_{2j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n$. Put

$$F(x,\alpha,y)=:i\Psi(x,\alpha)+i\Psi(\alpha,y).$$

Since $\Psi(x,\alpha)=-\overline{\Psi}(\alpha,x)$, we have $F(x,\alpha,x)=-2\mathrm{Im}\,\Psi(x,\alpha)$. Note that $\mathrm{Im}\,\Psi(x,\alpha)\geq 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,\Psi(x,x)=0$. From this observation, we can check that

$$d_\alpha F(x,\alpha,y)|_{x=y=\alpha}=-2\ \mathrm{Im}\,d_\alpha\Psi(x,\alpha)|_{x=\alpha}=0.$$

Moreover, from (3.4), it is not difficult to check that

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial^2F}{\partial\alpha_j\partial\alpha_k}\right)_{j,k=1}^{2n}\Bigg|_{x=y=\alpha}=2^{2n}\big(\det\dot{R}^L(x)\big)^2.$$

Thus, $x=y=\alpha$ are real critical points and $F(x,\alpha,y)$ is a non-degenerate complex valued phase function in the sense of Melin-Sjöstrand [17]. We can apply the stationary phase formula of Melin-Sjöstrand [17] to carry out the integral in (3.5) and obtain

$$e^{-k\phi(x)+k\phi(y)}T^{(k)}_{f,s}(x,y)\equiv e^{ik\hat{\Psi}(x,y)}\hat{b}_f(x,y,k)\quad\mathrm{mod}\ O(k^{-\infty})\ \text{ on } D\times D,\tag{3.7}$$

where $\hat{b}_f(x,y,k) \in C^\infty(D \times D)$ is properly supported,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{b}_f(x,y,k) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} k^{n-j} \hat{b}_{j,f}(x,y) \quad \text{mod } O(k^{-\infty}) \ \text{ on } D \times D, \\ &\hat{b}_{j,f}(x,y) \in C^\infty(D \times D), \quad j = 0,1,2,\ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and $\hat{\Psi}(x,y) \in C^\infty(D \times D)$, $\operatorname{Im} \hat{\Psi} \geq 0$, $\hat{\Psi}(x,x) = 0$. We claim that

$$\Psi(x,y) - \hat{\Psi}(x,y) \text{ vanishes to infinite order at } x = y. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $f = 1$ and notice that the phase $\hat{\Psi}$ is independent of f and

$$e^{-k\phi(x)+k\phi(y)} T^{(k)}_{1,s}(x,y) = e^{-k\phi(x)+k\phi(y)} \Pi^{(k)}_s(x,y). \tag{3.10}$$

From this observation and (3.2), we conclude that

$$e^{ik\hat{\Psi}(x,y)} \hat{b}_1(x,y,k) = e^{ik\Psi(x,y)} b(x,y,k) + G_k(x,y), \tag{3.11}$$

where $G_k(x,y) \equiv 0 \mod O(k^{-\infty})$. That is, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n}$ and every compact set $K \subset D$, there exists a constant $C_{N,\alpha,K} > 0$ independent of k, such that

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta G_k(x,y) \right| \leq C_{N,\alpha,\beta,K} k^{-N},$$

$x, y \in K$, for k large. We assume that there exist $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n}$ and $(x_0, x_0) \in D \times D$, such that

$$\partial_x^{\alpha_0} \partial_y^{\beta_0} (i\Psi(x,y) - i\hat{\Psi}(x,y)) \Big|_{(x_0,x_0)} = C_{\alpha_0,\beta_0} \neq 0,$$

and

$$\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_y^{\beta} (i\Psi(x,y) - i\hat{\Psi}(x,y)) \Big|_{(x_0,x_0)} = 0 \ \text{ if } |\alpha| + |\beta| < |\alpha_0| + |\beta_0|.$$

From (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_x^{\alpha_0} \partial_y^{\beta_0} \Big(e^{ik\Psi(x,y) - ik\hat{\Psi}(x,y)} b(x,y,k) - \hat{b}_1(x,y,k) \Big) \Big|_{(x_0,x_0)} \\ &= - \, \partial_x^{\alpha_0} \partial_y^{\beta_0} \Big(e^{-ik\hat{\Psi}(x,y)} G_k(x,y) \Big) \Big|_{(x_0,x_0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Since $\hat{\Psi}(x_0, x_0) = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-n-1} \, \partial_x^{\alpha_0} \partial_y^{\beta_0} \Big(e^{-ik\hat{\Psi}(x,y)} G_k(x,y) \Big) \Big|_{(x_0,x_0)} = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, we can check that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-n-1} \, \partial_x^{\alpha_0} \partial_y^{\beta_0} \Big(e^{ik\Psi(x,y) - ik\hat{\Psi}(x,y)} b(x,y,k) - \hat{b}_1(x,y,k) \Big) \Big|_{(x_0,x_0)} = C_{\alpha_0,\beta_0} b_0(x_0,x_0) \neq 0 \tag{3.14}$$

since $b_0(x_0, x_0) \neq 0$. From (3.13), (3.14) and (3.12), we get a contradiction. The claim (3.9) follows.

From (3.9) and the global theory of Fourier integral operators [17], we can replace the phase $\hat{\Psi}$ by Ψ. Thus,

$$e^{-k\phi(x)+k\phi(y)} T^{(k)}_{f,s}(x,y) \equiv e^{ik\Psi(x,y)} b_f(x,y,k) \quad \text{mod } O(k^{-\infty}) \ \text{ on } D \times D, \tag{3.15}$$

where $b_f(x,y,k) \in C^\infty(D \times D)$ is properly supported,

$$\begin{aligned} &b_f(x,y,k) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} k^{n-j} b_{j,f}(x,y) \quad \text{mod } O(k^{-\infty}) \ \text{ on } D \times D, \\ &b_{j,f}(x,y) \in C^\infty(D \times D), \quad j = 0,1,2,\ldots. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

We claim that

(3.17)

$$\overline{\partial}_z b_{j,f}(x,y) \text{ and } \partial_w b_{j,f}(x,y) \text{ vanish to infinite order at } x=y, \text{ for all } j=0,1,\ldots.$$

In view of (3.4), we see that $\overline{\partial}_z(i\Psi(x,y)+\phi(x))$ vanishes to infinite order at $x=y$. From this observation and notice that $\overline{\partial}_z T_{f,s}(x,y)=0$, we conclude that

$$e^{ik\Psi(x,y)}\overline{\partial}_z b_f(x,y,k)=H_k(x,y), \tag{3.18}$$

where $H_k(x,y)\equiv 0 \mod O(k^{-\infty})$. We assume that there exist $\gamma_0,\delta_0\in\mathbb{N}_0^{2n}$ and $(x_1,x_1)\in D\times D$, such that

$$\partial_x^{\gamma_0}\partial_y^{\delta_0}(\overline{\partial}_z b_{0,f}(x,y))\big|_{(x_1,x_1)}=D_{\gamma_0,\delta_0}\neq 0,$$

and

$$\partial_x^{\gamma}\partial_y^{\delta}(\overline{\partial}_z b_{0,f}(x,y))\big|_{(x_1,x_1)}=0 \text{ if } |\gamma|+|\delta|<|\gamma_0|+|\delta_0|.$$

From (3.18), we have

$$\partial_x^{\gamma_0}\partial_y^{\delta_0}\Big(\overline{\partial}_z b_f(x,y,k)\Big)\Big|_{(x_1,x_1)}=\partial_x^{\gamma_0}\partial_y^{\delta_0}\Big(e^{-ik\Psi(x,y)}H_k(x,y)\Big)\Big|_{(x_1,x_1)}. \tag{3.19}$$

Since $\Psi(x_1,x_1)=0$, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\,\partial_x^{\gamma_0}\partial_y^{\delta_0}\Big(e^{-ik\Psi(x,y)}H_k(x,y)\Big)\Big|_{(x_1,x_1)}=0. \tag{3.20}$$

On the other hand, we can check that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\,\partial_x^{\gamma_0}\partial_y^{\delta_0}\Big(\overline{\partial}_z b_f(x,y,k)\Big)\Big|_{(x_1,x_1)}=D_{\gamma_0,\delta_0}\neq 0. \tag{3.21}$$

From (3.21), (3.20) and (3.19), we get a contradiction. Thus, $\overline{\partial}_z b_{0,f}(x,y)$ vanishes to infinite order at $x=y$. Similarly, we can repeat the procedure above and conclude that $\overline{\partial}_z b_{j,f}(x,y)$ and $\partial_w b_{j,f}(x,y)$ vanish to infinite order at $x=y$, $j=0,1,\ldots$. The claim (3.17) follows.

Summing up, we obtain the phase function version of the asymptotic expansion of the kernel of Berezin-Toeplitz quantization

Theorem 3.3. *We recall that we work with Assumption 1.1. With the notations used above let $D\subset X$ be an open set with holomorphic coordinates $z=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ and let s be a local trivializing section of L on $D\subset X$ and $|s|^2=e^{-2\phi}$. We also write $w=(w_1,\ldots,w_n)$. Let $f\in C^\infty(X)$ and let $T^{(k)}_{f,s}(z,w)$ be as in* (1.18)*. Then,*

$$e^{-k\phi(z)+k\phi(w)}T^{(k)}_{f,s}(z,w)\equiv e^{ik\Psi(z,w)}b_f(z,w,k) \mod O(k^{-\infty}) \text{ on } D\times D, \tag{3.22}$$

where $b_f(z,w,k)\in C^\infty(D\times D)$ is properly supported and

(3.23)

$$b_f(z,w,k)\equiv\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}k^{n-j}b_{j,f}(z,w) \mod O(k^{-\infty}) \text{ on } D\times D,$$

$$b_{j,f}(z,w)\in C^\infty(D\times D),\quad j=0,1,2,\ldots,$$

$$\overline{\partial}_z b_{j,f}(z,w) \text{ and } \partial_w b_{j,f}(z,w) \text{ vanish to infinite order at } z=w, \text{ for all } j=0,1,\ldots,$$

and $\Psi(z,w)\in C^\infty(D\times D)$ is as in Theorem 3.1.

From Theorem 3.3, we deduce:

Corollary 3.4. *With the notations used above let $D \subset X$ be an open set with holomorphic coordinates $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ and let s be a local trivializing section of L on $D \subset X$. We also write $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$. Let $f, g \in C^\infty(X)$. Then, we have*

(3.24)
$$(T^{(k)}_f \circ T^{(k)}_g)(z) \equiv \int_D e^{ik(\Psi(z,w)+\Psi(w,z))} b_f(z,w,k) b_g(w,z,k) dV_X(w) \mod O(k^{-\infty})$$

on D, where $b_f(z,w,k), b_g(w,z,k) \in C^\infty(D \times D)$ are as in Theorem 3.3 and $\Psi(z,w) \in C^\infty(D \times D)$ is as in Theorem 3.1.

4. THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF THE KERNEL OF BEREZIN-TOEPLITZ QUANTIZATION

Let $f \in C^\infty(X)$ and let $b_{j,f}$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots$, be as in (1.19). Fix a point $p \in X$. In this section, we will give a method for computing $b_{j,f}(p)$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots$, and we will compute the first three terms explicitly. Near p, we take local holomorphic coordinates $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and local section s defined in some small neighborhood D of p such that (2.1) holds. Until further notice, we work with this local coordinates z and we identify p with the point $x = z = 0$.

In view of (3.6), we see that

$$T^{(k)}_f(0) = \int_D e^{ik(\Psi(0,z)+\Psi(z,0))} b(0,z,k) b(z,0,k) f(z) V_\Theta(z) d\lambda(z) + r_k, \tag{4.1}$$

where $d\lambda(z) = 2^n dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_{2n}$, V_Θ is given by (1.7) and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{r_k}{k^N} = 0, \quad \forall N \geq 0.$$

We notice that since $b(z,w,k)$ is properly supported, we have

$$b(0,z,k) \in C^\infty_0(D), \quad b(z,0,k) \in C^\infty_0(D). \tag{4.2}$$

We recall the stationary phase formula of Hörmander (see Theorem 7.7.5 in [8])

Theorem 4.1. *Let $K \subset D$ be a compact set and N a positive integer. If $u \in C^\infty_0(K)$, $F \in C^\infty(D)$ and $\operatorname{Im} F \geq 0$ in D, $\operatorname{Im} F(0) = 0$, $F'(0) = 0$, $\det F''(0) \neq 0$, $F' \neq 0$ in $K \setminus \{0\}$ then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left| \int e^{ikF(z)} u(z) V_\Theta(z) d\lambda(z) - 2^n e^{ikF(0)} \det \left(\frac{kF''(0)}{2\pi i} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j<N} k^{-j} L_j u \right| \\ &\leq Ck^{-N} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2N} \sup |\partial^\alpha_x u|, \quad k > 0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where C is bounded when F stays in a bounded set in $C^\infty(D)$ and $\frac{|x|}{|F'(x)|}$ has a uniform bounded and

$$L_j u = \sum_{\nu-\mu=j} \sum_{2\nu\geq 3\mu} i^{-j} 2^{-\nu} < F''(0)^{-1} D, D >^\nu \frac{(h^\mu V_\Theta u)(0)}{\nu!\mu!}. \tag{4.4}$$

Here

$$h(x) = F(x) - F(0) - \frac{1}{2} < F''(0)x, x > \tag{4.5}$$

and $D = \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_{x_1} \\ \vdots \\ -i\partial_{x_{2n}} \end{pmatrix}$.

Now, we apply (4.3) to the integral in (4.1). Put

$$F(z)=\Psi(0,z)+\Psi(z,0).$$

From (3.4) and (2.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&F(z)=2i\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_j\left|z_j\right|^2+2i\phi_1(z)+O(|z|^N),\quad \forall N\geq 0,\\&h(z)=2i\phi_1(z)+O(|z|^N),\quad \forall N\geq 0,\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

where h is given by (4.5). Moreover, we can check that

$$\det\left(\frac{kF''(0)}{2\pi i}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}=k^{-n}\pi^n2^{-n}\lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1}\cdots\lambda_n^{-1}=k^{-n}\pi^n\big(\det\dot{R}^L(0)\big)^{-1}\tag{4.7}$$

and

$$< F''(0)^{-1}D,D >= i\triangle_0.\tag{4.8}$$

We recall that $\triangle_0$ is given by (2.6). From (4.6), (4.8) and notice that $h=O(|z|^4)$, it is not difficult to see that

$$L_j(b(0,z,k)b(z,0,k)f)=\sum_{\nu-\mu=j}\sum_{2\nu\geq 4\mu}(-1)^{\mu}2^{-j}\frac{\triangle_0^{\nu}\big(\phi_1^{\mu}V_{\Theta}b(0,z,k)b(z,0,k)f\big)(0)}{\nu!\mu!},\tag{4.9}$$

where L_j is given by (4.4). We notice that

$$b(0,z,k)\equiv\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}b_j(0,z)k^{n-j}\mod O(k^{-\infty}),\ \ b(z,0,k)\equiv\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}b_j(z,0)k^{n-j}\mod O(k^{-\infty}).$$

From this observation, (4.9) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}&L_j(b(0,z,k)b(z,0,k)f)\\&=\sum_{\nu-\mu=j}\sum_{2\nu\geq 4\mu}\sum_{0\leq s+t\leq N}(-1)^{\mu}2^{-j}\frac{k^{2n-s-t}\triangle_0^{\nu}\big(\phi_1^{\mu}V_{\Theta}fb_s(0,z)b_t(z,0)\big)(0)}{\nu!\mu!}+O(k^{2n-N-1}),\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

for all $N\geq 0$. From (4.10), (4.7), (4.3) and (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&T_f^{(k)}(0)=(2\pi)^n(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^{-1}\times\\&\sum_{j=0}^{N}k^{n-j}\Big(\sum_{0\leq m\leq j}\sum_{\nu-\mu=m}\sum_{2\nu\geq 4\mu}\sum_{s+t=j-m}(-1)^{\mu}2^{-m}\frac{\triangle_0^{\nu}\big(\phi_1^{\mu}V_{\Theta}fb_s(0,z)b_t(z,0)\big)(0)}{\nu!\mu!}\Big)\\&\quad+O(k^{n-N-1}),\quad\forall N\geq 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

Combining (4.11) with (1.19), we obtain

Theorem 4.2. *For $b_{j,f}$, $j=0,1,\ldots$, in* (1.19), *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&b_{j,f}(0)\\&=(2\pi)^n(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^{-1}\sum_{0\leq m\leq j}\sum_{\nu-\mu=m}\sum_{2\nu\geq 4\mu}\sum_{s+t=j-m}(-1)^{\mu}2^{-m}\frac{\triangle_0^{\nu}\big(\phi_1^{\mu}V_{\Theta}fb_s(0,z)b_t(z,0)\big)(0)}{\nu!\mu!},\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

for all $j=0,1,\ldots$.

In particular,

$$b_{0,f}(0)=(2\pi)^n(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^{-1}f(0)b_0(0,0)^2,\tag{4.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_{1,f}(0) &= (2\pi)^n(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^{-1}\Big(2f(0)b_0(0,0)b_1(0,0) \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0) - \frac{1}{4}\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2,f}(0) &= (2\pi)^n(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^{-1}\Big(2f(0)b_0(0,0)b_2(0,0) + f(0)b_1(0,0)^2 \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta f(b_0(0,z)b_1(z,0) + b_1(0,z)b_0(z,0))\big)(0) \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{4}\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta f(b_0(0,z)b_1(z,0) + b_1(0,z)b_0(z,0))\big)(0) \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{8}\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0) - \frac{1}{24}\triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0) \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{192}\triangle_0^4\big(\phi_1^2 V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

4.1. **The coefficient $b_{0,f}$.** We notice that when $f = 1$, $b_{0,f}(0) = b_0(0,0)$. From this observation and (4.13), we obtain

$$b_0(0,0) = (2\pi)^n(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^{-1}b_0(0,0)^2.$$

Thus,

$$b_0(0,0) = (2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0). \tag{4.16}$$

Combining (4.16) with (4.13), we get

$$b_{0,f}(0) = (2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)f(0). \tag{4.17}$$

From this, (1.22) follows.

4.2. **The coefficient $b_{1,f}$.** In view of (4.14), we see that to compute $b_{1,f}(0)$ we have to know which global geometric functions at $z = 0$ equal to $\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)$ and $\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)$. Now we compute $\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)$. First, we need

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial b_0(z,0)}{\partial z_s}\Big|_{z=0} &= -(2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_s}(0), \\ \frac{\partial b_0(0,z)}{\partial \overline{z}_s}\Big|_{z=0} &= -(2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial \overline{z}_s}(0), \end{aligned} \qquad s = 1,\ldots,n, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 b_0(z,0)}{\partial z_s\partial z_t}\Big|_{z=0} &= (2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)\big(2\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_t}(0) - \frac{\partial^2 V_\Theta}{\partial z_s\partial z_t}(0)\big), \\ \frac{\partial^2 b_0(0,z)}{\partial \overline{z}_s\partial \overline{z}_t}\Big|_{z=0} &= (2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)\big(2\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial \overline{z}_s}(0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial \overline{z}_t}(0) - \frac{\partial^2 V_\Theta}{\partial \overline{z}_s\partial \overline{z}_t}(0)\big), \end{aligned} \qquad s,t = 1,\ldots,n, \tag{4.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}b_0(z,0)}{\partial z^\alpha\partial \overline{z}^\beta}\Big|_{z=0} &= 0, \qquad \forall \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n,\ \ |\beta| > 0, \\ \frac{\partial^{|\gamma|+|\delta|}b_0(0,z)}{\partial z^\gamma\partial \overline{z}^\delta}\Big|_{z=0} &= 0, \qquad \forall \gamma,\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n,\ \ |\gamma| > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Proof. In view of (3.3), we see that

$$\overline{\partial}_z b_0(z,0) = O(|z|^N), \qquad \partial_z b_0(0,z) = O(|z|^N), \qquad \forall N \geq 0. \tag{4.21}$$

From (4.21), (4.20) follows.

From (4.16), we see that

$$b_0(z,z)=(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(z)=V_\omega(z)\big(V_\Theta(z)\big)^{-1}. \tag{4.22}$$

In view of (2.1), we see that

$$\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}V_\omega}{\partial\overline{z}^\alpha}(0)=\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}V_\omega}{\partial z^\alpha}(0)=0,\qquad\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n. \tag{4.23}$$

From (4.22), (4.21) and (4.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}b_0(z,z)}{\partial z^\alpha}\Big|_{z=0}&=\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}b_0(z,0)}{\partial z^\alpha}\Big|_{z=0}=V_\omega(0)\,\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z^\alpha}\big(V_\Theta(z)\big)^{-1}\Big|_{z=0}\\&=(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\,\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z^\alpha}\big(V_\Theta(z)\big)^{-1}\Big|_{z=0},\\\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}b_0(z,z)}{\partial\overline{z}^\alpha}\Big|_{z=0}&=\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}b_0(0,z)}{\partial\overline{z}^\alpha}\Big|_{z=0}=V_\omega(0)\,\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial\overline{z}^\alpha}\big(V_\Theta(z)\big)^{-1}\Big|_{z=0}\\&=(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\,\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial\overline{z}^\alpha}\big(V_\Theta(z)\big)^{-1}\Big|_{z=0},\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$. We can compute

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_s}\big(V_\Theta(z)\big)^{-1}\Big|_{z=0}=-\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_s}(0),\ \ \frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_s}\big(V_\Theta(z)\big)^{-1}\Big|_{z=0}=-\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0),\ \ s=1,\ldots,n, \tag{4.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_s\partial z_t}\big(V_\Theta(z)\big)^{-1}\Big|_{z=0}&=2\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_t}(0)-\frac{\partial^2V_\Theta}{\partial z_s\partial z_t}(0),\\\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial\overline{z}_t}\big(V_\Theta(z)\big)^{-1}\Big|_{z=0}&=2\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial\overline{z}_t}(0)-\frac{\partial^2V_\Theta}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial\overline{z}_t}(0),\end{aligned}\qquad s,t=1,\ldots,n. \tag{4.26}$$

From (4.25), (4.26) and (4.24), we obtain (4.18) and (4.19). □

From (4.18) and (4.20), it is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta fb_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)=&\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\Big(\frac{\partial^2V_\Theta}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j}(0)-\Big|\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_j}(0)\Big|^2\Big)b_0(0,0)^2f(0)\\&+\big(\triangle_0f\big)(0)b_0(0,0)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Combining (4.27) with (2.11), (2.16) and (4.16), we get

$$\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta fb_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)=\Big(-\frac{1}{2\pi}\hat{r}(0)f(0)-\frac{1}{2\pi}\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)(0)\Big)(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^2. \tag{4.28}$$

Now, we compute $\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1V_\Theta fb_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)$. Since $\phi_1=O(|z|^4)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1V_\Theta fb_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)=&\big(\triangle_0^2\phi_1\big)(0)f(0)b_0(0,0)^2\\=&\big(\triangle_0^2\phi\big)(0)f(0)b_0(0,0)^2\\=&\sum_{s,t=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_s\lambda_t}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t\partial z_t}(0)f(0)b_0(0,0)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Combining (4.29) with (2.10) and (4.16), we get

$$\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1V_\Theta fb_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)=-\frac{1}{2\pi}r(0)f(0)(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^2. \tag{4.30}$$

From (4.14), (4.16), (4.28) and (4.30), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}&b_{1,f}(0)\\&=2f(0)b_1(0,0)+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}(0)f(0)-\frac{1}{4\pi}\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)(0)+\frac{1}{8\pi}r(0)f(0)\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

Let $f=1$ in (4.31) and notice that when $f=1$, $b_{1,f}(0)=b_1(0,0)$, we deduce

$$b_1(0,0)=(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}r(0)\Big).\tag{4.32}$$

Combining (4.32) with (4.31), we obtain

$$b_{1,f}(0)=(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}(0)f(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}r(0)f(0)-\frac{1}{4\pi}\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)(0)\Big).\tag{4.33}$$

From (4.33), (1.23) follows.

4.3. The coefficient $b_{2,f}$.

To know $b_{2,f}(0)$, we have to compute

$$\begin{aligned}&\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta f(b_0(0,z)b_1(z,0)+b_1(0,z)b_0(z,0))\big)(0),\\&\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1V_\Theta f(b_0(0,z)b_1(z,0)+b_1(0,z)b_0(z,0))\big)(0),\\&\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta fb_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0),\quad\triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1V_\Theta fb_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0),\\&\triangle_0^4\big(\phi_1^2V_\Theta fb_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0).\end{aligned}$$

(See (4.15).) First, we compute $\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta f(b_0(0,z)b_1(z,0)+b_1(0,z)b_0(z,0))\big)(0)$. We need

Lemma 4.4. *For $s=1,\ldots,n$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial b_1(z,0)}{\partial z_s}\Big|_{z=0}&=-b_1(0,0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_s}(0)+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\hat{r}}{\partial z_s}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{\partial r}{\partial z_s}(0)\Big),\\\frac{\partial b_1(0,z)}{\partial\overline{z}_s}\Big|_{z=0}&=-b_1(0,0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\hat{r}}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{\partial r}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\Big)\end{aligned}\tag{4.34}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}b_1(z,0)}{\partial z^\alpha\partial\overline{z}^\beta}\Big|_{z=0}&=0,\qquad\forall\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,\quad|\beta|>0,\\\frac{\partial^{|\gamma|+|\delta|}b_1(0,z)}{\partial z^\gamma\partial\overline{z}^\delta}\Big|_{z=0}&=0,\qquad\forall\gamma,\delta\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,\quad|\gamma|>0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.35}$$

Proof. In view of (3.3), we see that

$$\overline{\partial}_zb_1(z,0)=O(|z|^N),\qquad\partial_zb_1(0,z)=O(|z|^N),\qquad\forall N\geq0.$$

From this observation, (4.35) follows.

From (4.32), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}b_1(z,z)&=(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(z)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}(z)-\frac{1}{8\pi}r(z)\Big)\\&=V_\omega(z)\big(V_\Theta(z)\big)^{-1}\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}(z)-\frac{1}{8\pi}r(z)\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{4.36}$$

Note that $\frac{\partial V_\omega}{\partial z_s}(0)=\frac{\partial V_\omega}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)=0$, $s=1,\ldots,n$, $V_\Theta(0)=1$. Thus, for $s=1,\ldots,n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial b_1(z,z)}{\partial z_s}\Big|_{z=0}&=\frac{\partial b_1(z,0)}{\partial z_s}\Big|_{z=0}\qquad\text{(here we used (4.35))}\\&=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_s}\Big(V_\omega(z)\big(V_\Theta(z)\big)^{-1}\big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}(z)-\frac{1}{8\pi}r(z)\big)\Big)\Big|_{z=0}\\&=-b_1(0,0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_s}(0)+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\hat{r}}{\partial z_s}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{\partial r}{\partial z_s}(0)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $s=1,\dots,n$, we have

$$\frac{\partial b_1}{\partial \overline{z}_s}(0,z)\Big|_{z=0} = -b_1(0,0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial \overline{z}_s}(0) + (2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial \hat{r}}{\partial \overline{z}_s}(0) - \frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{\partial r}{\partial \overline{z}_s}(0)\Big).$$

(4.34) follows. □

From Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4 and (4.16), it is not difficult to calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta f(b_0(0,z)b_1(z,0)+b_1(0,z)b_0(z,0))\big)(0)\\
&=2\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\Big(\frac{\partial^2 V_\Theta}{\partial z_j\partial \overline{z}_j}(0)-\Big|\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_j}(0)\Big|^2\Big)b_0(0,0)b_1(0,0)f\\
&\quad+2\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_j\partial \overline{z}_j}(0)b_0(0,0)b_1(0,0)\\
&\quad+(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0)\frac{\partial \hat{r}}{\partial z_j}(0)+\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(0)\frac{\partial \hat{r}}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0)\big)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{8\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0)\frac{\partial r}{\partial z_j}(0)+\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(0)\frac{\partial r}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0)\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.37}$$

From (2.2), we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0)\frac{\partial \hat{r}}{\partial z_j}(0)+\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(0)\frac{\partial \hat{r}}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0)\big)-\frac{1}{8\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0)\frac{\partial r}{\partial z_j}(0)+\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(0)\frac{\partial r}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0)\big)\\
&\quad=\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial}\hat{r}\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\hat{r}\,\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial}r\,\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial r\,\rangle_\omega(0).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.38}$$

From (2.11), (2.16), (4.16), (4.32), (4.38) and (4.37), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta f(b_0(0,z)b_1(z,0)+b_1(0,z)b_0(z,0))\big)(0)\\
&=(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2\Big(-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\big(\hat{r}\big)^2(0)f(0)-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\hat{r}(0)\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)(0)+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}r(0)\hat{r}(0)f(0)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}r(0)\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)(0)+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial}\hat{r}\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\hat{r}\,\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial}r\,\rangle_\omega(0)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial r\,\rangle_\omega(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.39}$$

As (4.29), (4.30), we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta f(b_0(0,z)b_1(z,0)+b_1(0,z)b_0(z,0))\big)(0)\\
&\quad=-\frac{1}{\pi}r(0)f(0)b_0(0,0)b_1(0,0)\\
&\quad=(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2\Big(-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}r(0)\hat{r}(0)f(0)+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}r^2(0)f(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.40}$$

Now, we compute $\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)$. From Lemma 4.3, (4.16) and some straightforward but elementary computations, we can check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)\\
&=(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^2 f(0)\Big(\big(\triangle_0^2\log V_\Theta\big)(0)+\big(\triangle_0\log V_\Theta\big)^2(0)+\sum_{s,t=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_s\lambda_t}\left|\frac{\partial^2\log V_\Theta}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_t}(0)\right|^2\Big)\\
&\quad+(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^2\Big(2\sum_{s=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_s}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_s}\big(\triangle_0\log V_\Theta\big)(0)\\
&\quad+2\sum_{s=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_s}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_s}\big(\triangle_0\log V_\Theta\big)(0)+2\big(\triangle_0 f\big)(0)\big(\triangle_0\log V_\Theta\big)(0)\\
&\quad+2\sum_{s,t=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_s\lambda_t}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_t}(0)\frac{\partial^2\log V_\Theta}{\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t}(0)+\big(\triangle_0^2 f\big)(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.41}
$$

Combining (4.41) with Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)\\
&=(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^2 f(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega\hat{r}\big)(0)+\frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\,R_\Theta^{\det}\,,\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\,\rangle_\omega(0)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\big(\hat{r}\big)^2(0)+\frac{1}{\pi^2}\left|R_\Theta^{\det}\right|_\omega^2(0)\Big)\\
&\quad+(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^2\Big(-\frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial}\hat{r}\,\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\hat{r}\,\rangle_\omega(0)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\hat{r}(0)\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)(0)-\frac{2}{\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,R_\Theta^{\det}\,\rangle_\omega(0)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega^2 f\big)(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.42}
$$

Now, we compute $\triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)$. Note that $\phi_1=O(|z|^4)$ and $\frac{\partial^4\phi_1}{\partial z^\alpha\partial\overline{z}^\beta}(0)=0$ if $|\alpha|\leq 1$ or $|\beta|\leq 1$, $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$. From this observation, Lemma 4.3, (4.16) and some very complicate but elementary computations, we can check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)\\
&=(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^2 f(0)\Big(\big(\triangle_0^3\phi\big)(0)+3\big(\triangle_0^2\phi\big)(0)\big(\triangle_0\log V_\Theta\big)(0)\\
&\quad+6\sum_{j,k,s=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial^2\log V_\Theta}{\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\Big)\\
&\quad+(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^2\Big(3\big(\triangle_0^2\phi\big)(0)\big(\triangle_0 f\big)(0)\\
&\quad+6\sum_{j,k,s=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\\
&\quad+3\sum_{j,k,s=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^5\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_s}(0)\\
&\quad+3\sum_{j,k,s=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^5\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_k\partial z_k\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.43}
$$

Combining (4.43) with Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)\\
&= (2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2 f(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega r\big)(0)+\frac{2}{\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\right|_\omega^2(0)+\frac{1}{\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)\\
&\quad+\frac{3}{4\pi^2}r(0)\hat{r}(0)+\frac{6}{\pi^2}\langle\,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega(0)\Big)\\
&\quad+(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2\Big(\frac{3}{4\pi^2}r(0)\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)(0)-\frac{6}{\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega(0)\\
&\quad-\frac{3}{2\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial} f\,,\overline{\partial} r\,\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{3}{2\pi^2}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial r\,\rangle_\omega(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.44}
$$

Now, we compute $\triangle_0^4\big(\phi_1^2 V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)$. It is straightforward to calculate that

(4.45)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0^4\big(\phi_1^2 V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)=f(0)b_0(0,0)^2\big(\triangle_0^4\phi_1^2\big)(0)\\
&=(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2 f(0)\Big(24\sum_{1\leq s,t,j,k\leq n}\frac{1}{\lambda_t\lambda_s\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t\partial z_k}(0)\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j\partial z_t\partial\overline{z}_k}(0)\\
&\quad+6\sum_{1\leq s,t,j,k\leq n}\frac{1}{\lambda_t\lambda_s\lambda_j\lambda_k}\left|\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_t\partial z_k}(0)\right|^2\\
&\quad+6\sum_{1\leq s,t,j,k\leq n}\frac{1}{\lambda_t\lambda_s\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_t\partial z_t\partial\overline{z}_s\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_k\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_j}(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (4.45) with Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0^4\big(\phi_1^2 V_\Theta f b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)\\
&=(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2 f(0)\Big(\frac{24}{\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\right|^2_\omega(0)+\frac{6}{\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)+\frac{3}{2\pi^2}r^2(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.46}
$$

From (4.15), (4.16), (4.32), (4.39), (4.40), (4.42), (4.44), (4.46) and some elementary computations, we get

(4.47)

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{2,f}(0)&=2f(0)b_2(0,0)+(2\pi)^{-n}f(0)\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\hat{r}\big)^2(0)+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\hat{r}(0)r(0)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{128\pi^2}r^2(0)+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega\hat{r}\big)(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left|R^{\det}_\Theta\right|^2_\omega(0)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega r\big)(0)+\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\right|^2_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)\Big)\\
&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(-\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\hat{r}(0)\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)(0)+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}r(0)\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)(0)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\triangle^2_\omega f\big)(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f=1$ in (4.47) and notice that when $f=1$, $b_{2,f}(0)=b_2(0,0)$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_2(0,0)=-(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(&-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\hat{r}\big)^2(0)+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\hat{r}(0)r(0)-\frac{1}{128\pi^2}r^2(0)\\
&+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega\hat{r}\big)(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left|R^{\det}_\Theta\right|^2_\omega(0)\\
&-\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega r\big)(0)+\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\right|^2_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.48}
$$

Combining (4.48) with (4.47), we obtain

(4.49)

$$\begin{aligned}b_{2,f}(0)&=(2\pi)^{-n}f(0)\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\hat{r}\big)^2(0)-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\hat{r}(0)r(0)\\&\quad+\frac{1}{128\pi^2}r^2(0)-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega\hat{r}\big)(0)+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left|R^{\det}_\Theta\right|^2_\omega(0)\\&\quad+\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega r\big)(0)-\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)\Big)\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(-\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\hat{r}(0)\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)(0)+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}r(0)\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)(0)\\&\quad-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\triangle^2_\omega f\big)(0)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

From (4.49), we get (1.24). Theorem 1.4 follows.

5. The coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the kernel of the composition of two Berezin-Toeplitz quantizations

Let $f,g\in C^\infty(X)$ and let $b_{j,f,g}$, $C_j(f,g)$, $j=0,1,\ldots$, be as in (1.20) and (1.21) respectively. Fix a point $p\in X$. In this section, we will give a method for computing $b_{j,f,g}(p)$, $C_j(f,g)$, $j=0,1,\ldots$, and we will compute the first three terms explicitly. Near p, we take local holomorphic coordinates $z=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$, $z_j=x_{2j-1}+ix_{2j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n$, and local section s defined in some small neighborhood D of p such that (2.1) holds. Until further notice, we work with this local coordinates z and we identify p with the point $x=z=0$.

In view of Corollary 3.4, we see that

$$(T^{(k)}_f\circ T^{(k)}_g)(0)=\int_D e^{ik(\Psi(0,z)+\Psi(z,0))}b_f(0,z,k)b_g(z,0,k)f(z)V_\Theta(z)d\lambda(z)+r_k,\tag{5.1}$$

where $d\lambda(z)=2^ndx_1dx_2\cdots dx_{2n}$, V_Θ is given by (1.7) and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{r_k}{k^N}=0,\quad\forall N\geq 0.$$

We notice that since $b_f(z,w,k)$, $b_g(w,z,k)$ are properly supported, we have

$$b_f(0,z,k)\in C^\infty_0(D),\quad b_g(z,0,k)\in C^\infty_0(D).\tag{5.2}$$

We can repeat the proof of Theorem 4.2 and conclude that

Theorem 5.1. *For $b_{j,f,g}$, $j=0,1,\ldots$, in* (1.20)*, we have*

(5.3)

$$\begin{aligned}&b_{j,f,g}(0)\\&=(2\pi)^n(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^{-1}\sum_{0\leq m\leq j}\ \sum_{\nu-\mu=m}\ \sum_{2\nu\geq 4\mu}\ \sum_{s+t=j-m}(-1)^\mu 2^{-m}\frac{\triangle^\nu_0\big(\phi^\mu_1V_\Theta b_{s,f}(0,z)b_{t,g}(z,0)\big)(0)}{\nu!\mu!},\end{aligned}$$

for all $j=0,1,\ldots$, where $b_{j,f}(0,z),b_{j,g}(z,0)$, $j=0,1,\ldots$, are as in (3.23)*.*

In particular,

$$b_{0,f,g}(0)=(2\pi)^n(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^{-1}b_{0,f}(0,0)b_{0,g}(0,0),\tag{5.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}b_{1,f,g}(0)&=(2\pi)^n(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^{-1}\Big(b_{0,f}(0,0)b_{1,g}(0,0)+b_{1,f}(0,0)b_{0,g}(0,0)\\&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)-\frac{1}{4}\triangle^2_0\big(\phi_1V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)\Big)\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

and

(5.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{2,f,g}(0) = (2\pi)^n(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^{-1}\Big(& b_{0,f}(0,0)b_{2,g}(0,0) + b_{2,f}(0,0)b_{0,g}(0,0) + b_{1,f}(0,0)b_{1,g}(0,0) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta(b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{1,g}(z,0) + b_{1,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0))\big)(0) \\
&- \frac{1}{4}\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta(b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{1,g}(z,0) + b_{1,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0))\big)(0) \\
&+ \frac{1}{8}\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0) - \frac{1}{24}\triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0) \\
&+ \frac{1}{192}\triangle_0^4\big(\phi_1^2 V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

5.1. **The coefficients $b_{0,f,g}$ and $C_0(f,g)$.** From (5.4), (4.17) and (1.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&b_{0,f,g}(0) = (2\pi)^{-n} f(0)g(0)\det \dot{R}^L(0), \\
&C_{0,f,g}(0) = f(0)g(0).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.7}
$$

From (5.7), we get (1.25) and (1.28).

5.2. **The coefficients $b_{1,f,g}$ and $C_1(f,g)$.** In view of (5.5), we see that to compute $b_{1,f,g}(0)$ we have to know which global geometric functions at $z = 0$ equal to $\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)$ and $\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)$. Now we compute $\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)$. First, we need

Lemma 5.2. *We have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&b_{0,g}(z,0) = b_0(z,0)\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,|\alpha|\leq N}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}g}{\partial z^\alpha}(0)\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!} + O(|z|^{N+1}), \quad \forall N\in\mathbb{N}_0, \\
&b_{0,f}(0,z) = b_0(0,z)\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,|\alpha|\leq N}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}f}{\partial \overline{z}^\alpha}(0)\frac{\overline{z}^\alpha}{\alpha!} + O(|z|^{N+1}), \quad \forall N\in\mathbb{N}_0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.8}
$$

Proof. In view of (3.3) and (3.23), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\partial_z b_{0,g}(0,z) = O(|z|^N), \quad \partial_z b_0(0,z) = O(|z|^N), \quad \forall N\geq 0, \\
&\overline{\partial}_z b_{0,g}(z,0) = O(|z|^N), \quad \overline{\partial}_z b_0(z,0) = O(|z|^N), \quad \forall N\geq 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.9}
$$

Combining (5.9) with (4.17), we obtain that $\forall \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$, $|\beta|>0$, we have

(5.10)

$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}b_{0,g}(z,0)}{\partial z^\alpha}\Big|_{z=0} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}b_{0,g}(z,z)}{\partial z^\alpha}\Big|_{z=0} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}(b_0(z,z)g(z))}{\partial z^\alpha}\Big|_{z=0} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}(b_0(z,0)g(z))}{\partial z^\alpha}\Big|_{z=0}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}b_0(z,0)}{\partial z^\alpha\partial\overline{z}^\beta}\Big|_{z=0} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}b_{0,g}(z,0)}{\partial z^\alpha\partial\overline{z}^\beta}\Big|_{z=0} = 0.
\tag{5.11}
$$

From (5.10) and (5.11), we obtain

$$
b_{0,g}(z,0) = b_0(z,0)\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,|\alpha|\leq N}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}g}{\partial z^\alpha}(0)\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!} + O(|z|^{N+1}), \quad \forall N\in\mathbb{N}_0.
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
b_{0,f}(0,z) = b_0(0,z)\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,|\alpha|\leq N}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}f}{\partial \overline{z}^\alpha}(0)\frac{\overline{z}^\alpha}{\alpha!} + O(|z|^{N+1}), \quad \forall N\in\mathbb{N}_0.
$$

(5.8) follows. $\square$

Let $F(z)$ be a smooth function defined in some neighborhood of $z=0$ such that

$$F(z)=\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}f}{\partial\overline{z}^{\alpha}}(0)\frac{\partial^{|\beta|}g}{\partial z^{\beta}}(0)\frac{\overline{z}^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}\frac{z^{\beta}}{\beta!}+O(|z|^N),\quad\forall N\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{5.12}$$

From (5.8), we see that

$$\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)=\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta F b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0).$$

Combining this with (4.28), we obtain

$$\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)=\Big(-\frac{1}{2\pi}\hat{r}(0)F(0)-\frac{1}{2\pi}\big(\triangle_\omega F\big)(0)\Big)(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^2. \tag{5.13}$$

From (5.12), (2.2) and (2.4), it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}&F(0)=f(0)g(0),\\&(\triangle_\omega F)(0)=-2\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_\omega(0).\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

From (5.14) and (5.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)\\&=\Big(-\frac{1}{2\pi}\hat{r}(0)f(0)g(0)+\frac{1}{\pi}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_\omega(0)\Big)(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

As (4.29), (4.30), we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)&=\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1V_\Theta F b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)\\&=-\frac{1}{2\pi}r(0)f(0)g(0)(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot{R}^L(0))^2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

From (5.5), (4.17), (4.33), (5.15) and (5.16), it is straightforward to check that

$$\begin{aligned}&b_{1,f,g}(0)\\&=(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)f(0)g(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}r(0)\Big)\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\big(g\triangle_\omega f+f\triangle_\omega g\big)+\frac{1}{2\pi}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_{\,\omega}\Big)(0).\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

From (4.33), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}&b_{1,fg}(0)\\&=(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)f(0)g(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}r(0)\Big)\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\triangle_\omega(fg)\Big)(0).\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Combining this with (2.47), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&b_{1,fg}(0)\\&=(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)f(0)g(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}r(0)\Big)\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\big(g\triangle_\omega f+f\triangle_\omega g\big)+\frac{1}{2\pi}\langle\,\overline{\partial}f\,,\overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_{\,\omega}+\frac{1}{2\pi}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_{\,\omega}\Big)(0).\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

From (5.19) and (5.17), we conclude that

$$b_{1,f,g}(0)=b_{1,fg}(0)+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(-\frac{1}{2\pi}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_{\,\omega}\Big)(0). \tag{5.20}$$

From (5.17) and (5.20), (1.26) follows.

Note that

$$b_{1,f,g}(0)=b_{1,fg}(0)+b_{0,C_1(f,g)}(0).$$

From this observation and (5.20), (4.17), we conclude that

$$C_1(f,g)(0)=-\frac{1}{2\pi}\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_{\,\omega}(0). \tag{5.21}$$

(1.29) follows.

5.3. The coefficients $b_{2,f,g}$ and $C_2(f,g)$. To know $b_{2,f,g}(0)$, we have to compute

$$\begin{aligned}&\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta(b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{1,g}(z,0)+b_{1,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0))\big)(0),\\&\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1V_\Theta(b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{1,g}(z,0)+b_{1,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0))\big)(0),\\&\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0),\quad\triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0),\\&\triangle_0^4\big(\phi_1^2V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0).\end{aligned}$$

(See (5.6).) First, we compute $\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta(b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{1,g}(z,0)+b_{1,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0))\big)(0)$. We need

Lemma 5.3. *For $s=1,\ldots,n$, we have*

(5.22)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial b_{1,g}(z,0)}{\partial z_s}\Big|_{z=0}&=-b_{1,g}(0,0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial z_s}(0)+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)g(0)\big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\hat{r}}{\partial z_s}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{\partial r}{\partial z_s}(0)\big)\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_s}(0)\big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}r(0)\big)\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\big(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\big)\frac{\partial\triangle_\omega g}{\partial z_s}(0),\\\frac{\partial b_{1,f}(0,z)}{\partial\overline{z}_s}\Big|_{z=0}&=-b_{1,f}(0,0)\frac{\partial V_\Theta}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)f(0)\big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\hat{r}}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{\partial r}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\big)\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\hat{r}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}r(0)\big)\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\big(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\big)\frac{\partial\triangle_\omega f}{\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}b_{1,g}(z,0)}{\partial z^\alpha\partial\overline{z}^\beta}\Big|_{z=0}=0,\qquad\forall\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,\ \ |\beta|>0,\\&\frac{\partial^{|\gamma|+|\delta|}b_{1,f}(0,z)}{\partial z^\gamma\partial\overline{z}^\delta}\Big|_{z=0}=0,\qquad\forall\gamma,\delta\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,\ \ |\gamma|>0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

The proof of Lemma 5.3 is essentially the same as the proof of Lemma 4.4. We omit the proof.

From Lemma 4.3, Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, it is not difficult to calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta(b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{1,g}(z,0)+b_{1,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0))\big)(0)\\
=&\big(b_{0,f}(0,0)b_{1,g}(0,0)+b_{1,f}(0,0)b_{0,g}(0,0)\big)\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial^2\log V_\Theta}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_j}(0)\\
&+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(b_{0,g}(0,0)\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline{z}_j}(0)\big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\hat r}{\partial z_j}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{\partial r}{\partial z_j}(0)\big)\\
&+b_{0,f}(0,0)\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_j}(0)\big(\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\hat r}{\partial\overline z_j}(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{\partial r}{\partial\overline z_j}(0)\big)\Big)\\
&+(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot R^L(0))^2\big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\hat r(0)-\frac{1}{4\pi}r(0)\big)\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline z_j}(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_j}(0)\\
&+(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot R^L(0))^2\big(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\big)\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline z_j}(0)\frac{\partial\triangle_\omega g}{\partial z_j}(0)+\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_j}(0)\frac{\partial\triangle_\omega f}{\partial\overline z_j}(0)\big).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.24}$$

Combining (5.24) with Lemma 2.1, Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0\big(V_\Theta(b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{1,g}(z,0)+b_{1,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0))\big)(0)\\
=&\big(b_{0,f}(0,0)b_{1,g}(0,0)+b_{1,f}(0,0)b_{0,g}(0,0)\big)\big(-\frac{1}{2\pi}\big)\hat r(0)\\
&+(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot R^L(0))^2\Big(g(0)\big(\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline\partial f\,,\overline\partial\hat r\,\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\overline\partial f\,,\overline\partial r\,\rangle_\omega(0)\big)\\
&+f(0)\big(\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\partial g\,,\partial\hat r\,\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\partial g\,,\partial r\,\rangle_\omega(0)\big)+\big(\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\hat r(0)-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}r(0)\big)\langle\,\overline\partial f\,,\overline\partial\overline g\,\rangle_\omega(0)\\
&-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline\partial f\,,\overline\partial\triangle_\omega\overline g\,\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline\partial\triangle_\omega f\,,\overline\partial\overline g\,\rangle_\omega(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.25}$$

As (4.29), (4.30), we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0^2\big(\phi_1V_\Theta(b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{1,g}(z,0)+b_{1,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0))\big)(0)\\
&=-\frac{1}{2\pi}r(0)\big(b_{0,f}(0,0)b_{1,g}(0,0)+b_{1,f}(0,0)b_{0,g}(0,0)\big).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.26}$$

Now, we compute $\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)$. From Lemma 5.2, we see that

$$\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)=\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta Fb_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0),\tag{5.27}$$

where F is given by (5.12). Combining (5.27) with (4.42), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)\\
&=\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta Fb_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)\\
&=(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot R^L(0))^2F(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega\hat r\big)(0)+\frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\,R^{\det}_\Theta\,,\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\,\rangle_\omega(0)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\big(\hat r\big)^2(0)+\frac{1}{\pi^2}\left|R^{\det}_\Theta\right|^2_\omega(0)\Big)\\
&\quad+(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det\dot R^L(0))^2\Big(-\frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\,\overline\partial F\,,\overline\partial\hat r\,\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\,\partial F\,,\partial\hat r\,\rangle_\omega(0)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\hat r(0)\big(\triangle_\omega F\big)(0)-\frac{2}{\pi^2}\langle\,\overline\partial\partial F\,,R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega(0)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\,\overline\partial\partial F\,,\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega^2F\big)(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.28}$$

We need

Lemma 5.4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &F(0) = f(0)g(0), \quad (\overline{\partial}F)(0) = g(0)(\overline{\partial}f)(0), \\ &(\partial F)(0) = f(0)(\partial g)(0), \quad (\overline{\partial}\partial F)(0) = (\overline{\partial}f)(0) \wedge (\partial g)(0), \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

$$\big(\triangle_\omega F\big)(0) = -2\langle\, \overline{\partial} f \,, \overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_\omega(0), \tag{5.30}$$

$$\big(\triangle_\omega^2 F\big)(0) = 4\langle\, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial} f \,, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_\omega(0) + 4\langle\, \overline{\partial} f \wedge \partial g \,, \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega(0). \tag{5.31}$$

Proof. (5.29) is easy. From (5.12), (2.2) and (2.4), we can check that

$$\big(\triangle_\omega F\big)(0) = -2\pi \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(0) \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_j}(0) = -2\langle\, \overline{\partial} f \,, \overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_\omega(0).$$

(5.30) follows.

From (2.19), (5.12) and (2.44), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\triangle_\omega^2 F)(0) &= 4\pi^2(\triangle_0^2 F)(0) + 4\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial F \,, \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega(0) \\ &= 4\pi^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k} \frac{\partial^4 F}{\partial z_j \partial \overline{z}_j \partial z_k \partial \overline{z}_k}(0) + 4\langle\, \overline{\partial} f \wedge \partial g \,, \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega(0) \\ &= 4\pi^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \overline{z}_j \partial \overline{z}_k}(0) \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial z_j \partial z_k}(0) + 4\langle\, \overline{\partial} f \wedge \partial g \,, \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega(0) \\ &= 4\langle\, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial} f \,, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_\omega(0) + 4\langle\, \overline{\partial} f \wedge \partial g \,, \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega(0). \end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

(5.31) follows. □

Combining Lemma 5.4 with (5.28), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\triangle_0^2\big(V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z) b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2 f(0)g(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega \hat{r}\big)(0) + \frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\, R^{\det}_\Theta \,, \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega(0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\big(\hat{r}\big)^2(0) + \frac{1}{\pi^2}\left|R^{\det}_\Theta\right|^2_\omega(0)\Big) \\ &\quad + (2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2\Big(- \frac{1}{\pi^2} g(0)\langle\, \overline{\partial} f \,, \overline{\partial}\hat{r}\,\rangle_\omega(0) - \frac{1}{\pi^2} f(0)\langle\, \partial g \,, \partial \hat{r}\,\rangle_\omega(0) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\pi^2}\hat{r}(0)\langle\, \overline{\partial} f \,, \overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_\omega(0) - \frac{2}{\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial} f \wedge \partial g \,, R^{\det}_\Theta\,\rangle_\omega(0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi^2}\langle\, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial} f \,, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial g}\,\rangle_\omega(0)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

Now, we compute $\triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z) b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)$. As before, we have

$$\triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z) b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0) = \triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta F b_0(0,z) b_0(z,0)\big)(0). \tag{5.34}$$

From (5.34), (4.44) and Lemma 5.4, it is easy to check that

(5.35)
$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)\\
&= \triangle_0^3\big(\phi_1 V_\Theta F b_0(0,z)b_0(z,0)\big)(0)\\
&= (2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2 f(0)g(0)\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega r\big)(0)+\frac{2}{\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)\\
&\quad+\frac{3}{4\pi^2}r(0)\hat{r}(0)+\frac{6}{\pi^2}\langle \mathrm{Ric}_\omega , R^{\det}_\Theta\rangle_\omega(0)\Big)\\
&\quad+(2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2\Big(-\frac{3}{2\pi^2}r(0)\langle \overline{\partial} f , \overline{\partial g}\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{6}{\pi^2}\langle \overline{\partial} f\wedge \partial g , \mathrm{Ric}_\omega\rangle_\omega(0)\\
&\quad-\frac{3}{2\pi^2}g(0)\langle \overline{\partial} f , \overline{\partial} r\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{3}{2\pi^2}f(0)\langle \partial g , \partial r\rangle_\omega(0)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

As (4.46), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_0^4\big(\phi_1^2 V_\Theta b_{0,f}(0,z)b_{0,g}(z,0)\big)(0)\\
&= (2\pi)^{-2n}(\det \dot{R}^L(0))^2 f(0)g(0)\Big(\frac{24}{\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)+\frac{6}{\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)+\frac{3}{2\pi^2}r^2(0)\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

Combining (5.6) with (4.17), (4.33), (4.49), (5.25), (5.26), (5.33), (5.35), (5.36) and some very complicated but elementary computations, we deduce

(5.37)
$$\begin{aligned}
b_{2,f,g}(0) &= (2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)f(0)g(0)\Big(\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\hat{r}\big)^2(0)-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\hat{r}(0)r(0)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{128\pi^2}r^2(0)-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega\hat{r}\big)(0)+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle \mathrm{Ric}_\omega , R^{\det}_\Theta\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left|R^{\det}_\Theta\right|^2_\omega(0)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega r\big)(0)-\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)\Big)\\
&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)\Big(\big(\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\hat{r}-\frac{1}{16\pi^2}r\big)\langle \overline{\partial} f , \overline{\partial g}\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{16\pi^2}f\big(\triangle_\omega g\big)\big(-\hat{r}+\frac{1}{2}r\big)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{16\pi^2}g\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)\big(-\hat{r}+\frac{1}{2}r\big)-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}f\langle \overline{\partial}\partial g , R^{\det}_\Theta\rangle_\omega-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}g\langle \overline{\partial}\partial f , R^{\det}_\Theta\rangle_\omega\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}f\langle \overline{\partial}\partial g , \mathrm{Ric}_\omega\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}g\langle \overline{\partial}\partial f , \mathrm{Ric}_\omega\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle \overline{\partial} f\wedge\partial g , \mathrm{Ric}_\omega\rangle_\omega\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle \overline{\partial} f\wedge\partial g , R^{\det}_\Theta\rangle_\omega-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle \overline{\partial} f , \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega\overline{g}\rangle_\omega-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega f , \overline{\partial g}\rangle_\omega\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle D^{0,1}\overline{\partial} f , D^{0,1}\overline{\partial g}\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}f\big(\triangle_\omega^2 g\big)+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}g\big(\triangle_\omega^2 f\big)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega g\big)\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)\Big)(0).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.49), we see that

(5.38)
$$\begin{aligned}
b_{2,fg}(0) &= (2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)f(0)g(0)\Big(\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\hat{r}\big)^2(0)-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\hat{r}(0)r(0)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{128\pi^2}r^2(0)-\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega\hat{r}\big)(0)+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle \mathrm{Ric}_\omega , R^{\det}_\Theta\rangle_\omega(0)-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left|R^{\det}_\Theta\right|^2_\omega(0)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega r\big)(0)-\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{96\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)\Big)\\
&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)\Big(-\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\hat{r}\big(\triangle_\omega(fg)\big)+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}r\big(\triangle_\omega(fg)\big)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle \overline{\partial}\partial(fg) , R^{\det}_\Theta\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle \overline{\partial}\partial(fg) , \mathrm{Ric}_\omega\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega^2(fg)\big)\Big)(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\overline{\partial}\partial(fg) = g\overline{\partial}\partial f + f\overline{\partial}\partial g + \overline{\partial}f \wedge \partial g + \overline{\partial}g \wedge \partial f$. From this observation and (2.47), (2.48), it is straightforward to see that we can rewrite (5.38):

(5.39)

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{2,fg}(0) = {}& (2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)f(0)g(0)\Big(\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\hat{r}\big)^2(0) - \frac{1}{32\pi^2}\hat{r}(0)r(0)\\
&+ \frac{1}{128\pi^2}r^2(0) - \frac{1}{32\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega \hat{r}\big)(0) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\, , R^{\det}_{\Theta}\,\rangle_\omega(0) - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left|R^{\det}_{\Theta}\right|^2_\omega(0)\\
&+ \frac{1}{96\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega r\big)(0) - \frac{1}{24\pi^2}\left|\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\right|^2_\omega(0) + \frac{1}{96\pi^2}\left|R^{TX}_\omega\right|^2_\omega(0)\Big)\\
&+ (2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)\Big(\frac{1}{16\pi^2}g\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)\big(-\hat{r} + \frac{1}{2}r\big) + \frac{1}{16\pi^2}f\big(\triangle_\omega g\big)\big(-\hat{r} + \frac{1}{2}r\big)\\
&+\big(\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\hat{r} - \frac{1}{16\pi^2}r\big)\langle\, \overline{\partial}f\, , \overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega + \big(\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\hat{r} - \frac{1}{16\pi^2}r\big)\langle\, \partial f\, , \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega - \frac{1}{4\pi^2}f\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial g\, , R^{\det}_{\Theta}\,\rangle_\omega\\
&- \frac{1}{4\pi^2}g\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial f\, , R^{\det}_{\Theta}\,\rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{8\pi^2}f\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial g\, , \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{8\pi^2}g\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial f\, , \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega\\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}f \wedge \partial g\, , \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}g \wedge \partial f\, , \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega - \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}f \wedge \partial g\, , R^{\det}_{\Theta}\,\rangle_\omega\\
&- \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}g \wedge \partial f\, , R^{\det}_{\Theta}\,\rangle_\omega - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}f\, , \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega f\, , \overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega\\
&- \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \partial f\, , \partial\triangle_\omega\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \partial\triangle_\omega f\, , \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, D^{0,1}\overline{\partial}f\, , D^{0,1}\overline{\partial}\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega\\
&+ \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, D^{1,0}\partial f\, , D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial f\, , \overline{\partial}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{32\pi^2}f\big(\triangle^2_\omega g\big) + \frac{1}{32\pi^2}g\big(\triangle^2_\omega f\big)\\
&+ \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\big(\triangle_\omega g\big)\big(\triangle_\omega f\big)\Big)(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.39) with (5.37), we obtain

(5.40)

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{2,f,g}(0) = b_{2,fg}(0) + (2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)\Big(& - \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}g \wedge \partial f\, , \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}g \wedge \partial f\, , R^{\det}_{\Theta}\,\rangle_\omega\\
&+ \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \partial\triangle_\omega f\, , \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega g\, , \overline{\partial}\,\overline{f}\,\rangle_\omega - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, D^{1,0}\partial f\, , D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega\\
&- \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial f\, , \overline{\partial}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\, \partial f\, , \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(-\hat{r} + \frac{1}{2}r)\Big)(0).
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.40), (1.27) follows.

Now, we compute $C_2(f,g)(0)$. Note that

$$b_{2,f,g}(0) = b_{2,fg}(0) + b_{1,C_1(f,g)}(0) + b_{0,C_2(f,g)}(0). \tag{5.41}$$

In view of (5.21) and (4.33), we know that

(5.42)

$$b_{1,C_1(f,g)}(0) = (2\pi)^{-n}\det \dot{R}^L(0)\Big(\big(-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\hat{r} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2}r\big)\langle\, \partial f\, , \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\triangle_\omega(\langle\, \partial f\, , \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega)\Big)(0).$$

We need

Lemma 5.5. *We have*

(5.43)

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle_\omega(\langle\, \partial f\, , \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega)(0) = {}& -2\langle\, \overline{\partial}g \wedge \partial f\, , \mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle(0) + \langle\, \partial\triangle_\omega f\, , \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0) + \langle\, \overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega g\, , \overline{\partial}\,\overline{f}\,\rangle_\omega(0)\\
&- 2\langle\, \overline{\partial}\partial f\, , \overline{\partial}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0) - 2\langle\, D^{1,0}\partial f\, , D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.2), it is straightforward to see that

$$\langle\, \partial f\, , \partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega = \pi\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial g}{\partial\overline{z}_j} - \pi\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k}\frac{\partial^2\phi_1}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial g}{\partial\overline{z}_k} + O(|z|^3). \tag{5.44}$$

From (5.44) and (2.4) and notice that $\phi_1 = O(|z|^4)$, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle_\omega\big(\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega\big)(0) = &-2\pi^2\sum_{j,s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial z_j\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial\overline{z}_j}(0)\\ &-2\pi^2\sum_{j,s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(0)\\ &-2\pi^2\sum_{j,s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_j\partial z_s}(0)\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\\ &-2\pi^2\sum_{j,s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_j\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_s}(0)\\ &+2\pi^2\sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_s}\frac{\partial^4\phi}{\partial\overline{z}_j\partial z_k\partial z_s\partial\overline{z}_s}(0)\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(0)\frac{\partial g}{\partial\overline{z}_k}(0).\end{aligned}\tag{5.45}$$

Combining (5.45) with (2.45), (2.46), (2.43), (2.42) and (2.41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle_\omega\big(\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega\big)(0) = &-2\langle\,\overline{\partial}g\wedge\partial f\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle(0)+\langle\,\partial\triangle_\omega f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\langle\,\overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega g\,,\overline{\partial}\,\overline{f}\,\rangle_\omega(0)\\ &-2\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,\overline{\partial}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0)-2\langle\,D^{1,0}\partial f\,,D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0).\end{aligned}$$

(5.43) follows. ☐

From (5.43) and (5.42), we get

$$\begin{aligned}b_{1,C_1(f,g)}(0) = (2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(&\big(-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\hat{r}+\frac{1}{16\pi^2}r\big)\langle\,\partial f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}g\wedge\partial f\,,\mathrm{Ric}_{\,\omega}\,\rangle\\ &+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\partial\triangle_\omega f\,,\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\triangle_\omega g\,,\overline{\partial}\,\overline{f}\,\rangle_\omega-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}\partial f\,,\overline{\partial}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega\\ &-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,D^{1,0}\partial f\,,D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega\Big)(0).\end{aligned}\tag{5.46}$$

Combining (5.46) with (5.40), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}b_{2,f,g}(0) = {}&b_{2,fg}(0)+b_{1,C_1(f,g)}(0)\\ &+(2\pi)^{-n}\det\dot{R}^L(0)\Big(\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,D^{1,0}\partial f\,,D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}g\wedge\partial f\,,R^{\det}_{\Theta}\,\rangle_\omega\Big)(0).\end{aligned}\tag{5.47}$$

Combining (5.47) with (5.41), (4.17), we conclude that

$$C_2(f,g)(0) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\langle\,D^{1,0}\partial f\,,D^{1,0}\partial\overline{g}\,\rangle_\omega(0)+\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\langle\,\overline{\partial}g\wedge\partial f\,,R^{\det}_{\Theta}\,\rangle_\omega(0).$$

We obtain (1.30) and Theorem 1.5 follows.

Acknowledgements. *The author would like to thank the institute of Mathematics of Academia Sinica, Taiwan, for offering excellent working conditions during the month of July, 2011. Furthermore, the author is grateful to Prof. George Marinescu and Prof. Xiannon Ma for comments and useful suggestions on an early draft of the manuscript.*

REFERENCES

[1] R. Berman, B. Berndtsson and J. Sjöstrand, *A direct approach to Bergman kernel asymptotics for positive line bundles*, Ark. Math. **46** (2008), no. 2,197–217.

[2] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand, *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegö*, Astérisque, **34–35** (1976), 123–164.

[3] L. Boutet de Monvel and V. Guillemin, *The spectral theory of Toeplitz operators*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **99** (1981).

[4] D. Catlin, *The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian*, Analysis and geometry in several complex variables Katata, Trends in Math, 1–23.

[5] X. Dai, K. Liu and X. Ma, *On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel*, J. Differential Geom., **72**, (2006), no. 1, 1–41.

[6] J. Fine, *Calabi flow and projective embeddings, with an Appendix by K. Liu and X. Ma*, J. Differential Geom., **84**, (2010), 489–523.

[7] J. Fine, *Quantization and the Hessian of Mabuchi energy*, arXiv:1009.4543.

[8] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[9] C-Y. Hsiao and G. Marinescu, *The asymptotics for Bergman kernels for lower energy forms and the Bergman kernel asymptotics for semi-positive and big line bundles*, in preparation.

[10] Z. Lu, *On the lower order terms of the asymptotic expansion of Tian-Yau-Zelditch*, Amer. J. Math., **122**, (2000) , no. 2, 235–273.

[11] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *The first coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel of the spin^c Dirac operator*, Internat. J. Math., **17**, (2006), no. 6, 737–759.

[12] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, Progress in Math., vol. 254, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007, 422 pp.

[13] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds*, Adv. Math., **217**, (2008), no. 4, 1756–1815.

[14] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Toeplitz operators on symplectic manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal., **18**, (2008), no. 2, 565–611.

[15] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Berezin-Toeplitz quantization on Kaehler manifolds*, arXiv:1009.4405, to appear in Journal de Crelle.

[16] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Berezin-Toeplitz quantization and its kernel expansion*, to appear in the Proceedings of GEOQUANT conference, Luxembourg, 2009, 41 pp.

[17] A. Melin and J. Sjöstrand, *Fourier integral operators with complex-valued phase functions*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., **459**, (1975), 120–223.

[18] W.-D. Ruan, *Canonical coordinates and Bergmann metrics*, Comm. Anal. Geom., **6**, (1998), no. 3, 589–631.

[19] S. Zelditch, *Szegö kernels and a theorem of Tian*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices., (1998), no. 6, 317–331.

Universität zu Köln, Mathematisches Institut, Weyertal 86-90, 50931 Köln, Germany

E-mail address: `chsiao@math.uni-koeln.de`